NSF-ITP-XX-XX

version 8/26

arXiv:cond-mat/9501022v2 12 Jan 1995

Quantum Hall Fluids*

A. Zee

Institute for Theoretical Physics
& Department of Physics
University of California
Santa Barbara, California 93106-4030

ABSTRACT: We review the effective field theory treatment of topological quantum fluids, focussing on the Hall fluids.

* To appear in the Proceedings of the South African School of Physics, Tsitsikamma 1994, Springer-Verlag

I. TOPOLOGICAL QUANTUM FLUIDS

In the last few years, an interesting new subject, the study of topological quantum fluids, has emerged. Examples of topological quantum fluids include the Hall fluid, the chiral spin fluid, and the anyon superfluid. I have lectured on the chiral spin fluid and anyon superfluid in more details elsewhere[1,2], to which I refer the interested reader. Here I will focus on the Hall fluid. Much of the work described here were done in collaboration with X. G. Wen. I will discuss here the effective field theory approach to the Hall fluid. The lectures by X.G. Wen in this volume are focussed on the microscopic theory of the Hall fluid and complement these lectures. Some closely related topics are discussed by P. Wiegmann in his lectures in this volume.

Without further ado we will now talk about the quantum Hall system.[3] It is a remarkably simple system to describe, just a bunch of electrons moving in a plane in the presence of an external magnetic field B perpendicular to the plane. The magnetic field is assumed to be sufficiently strong so that the spin of the electrons may be completely polarized. We take all the electrons to have spin up, say, so that the electrons are treated as spinless fermions. As is well known by now, this seemingly innocuous and simple physical situation contains a fascinating wealth of physics.

This remarkable richness follows from the interplay between two basic pieces of physics, as follows.

(1) Even though the electron is point-like, it takes up a finite amount of room.

Classically, a charged particle in a magnetic field moves in a Larmor circle of radius r determined by

$$evB = mv^2/r \tag{1.1}$$

As you can see, I am really starting these lectures with the basics: "$F = ma$"! Classically, the radius is not fixed. The more energetic particles move in larger circles. Applying

semi-classical quantization

$$mvr \sim h = 2\pi \tag{1.2}$$

(in units in which $\hbar$ is equal to unity) we obtain

$$mvr = eBr^2 \sim 2\pi \tag{1.3}$$

Thus, the area taken up by each electron is of order

$$\pi r^2 \sim 2\pi^2/eB \tag{1.4}$$

(2) Electrons are fermions and want to stay out of each other's way.

According to Pauli, not only do electrons each insists on taking up a finite amount of room, they have to have their own rooms. Thus, the quantum Hall problem may be described as a sort of housing crisis, or as the problem of assigning office space at the Institute for Theoretical Physics to visitors who do not want to share offices.

The rich physics of the quantum Hall system is a consequence of these two fundamental points. Already at this stage, we would expect that when the number of electrons N_e is just right to fill out space completely, namely when

$$N_e \pi r^2 \sim N_e(2\pi^2/eB) \sim A, \tag{1.5}$$

we have a special situation. Here A denotes the area of the system. The fact that this is somehow special should be reflected in the physics.

These heuristic considerations should, and could, be made precise, of course. First, let us solve the Schrödinger equation satisfied by a single electron in a magnetic field

$$-\Big[(\partial_x - ieA_x)^2 + (\partial_y - ieA_y)^2\Big]\psi = 2mE\psi \tag{1.6}$$

This is a textbook problem solved by Landau years ago. (See also Wen's lectures in this volume.) The eigenvalues are given by

$$E_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) eB/m, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{1.7}$$

The (degenerate) set of states with the energy E_n is referred to as the n-th Landau level. The levels are separated by the Landau-Larmor energy

$$E_L = \hbar\omega_c \equiv eB/m \tag{1.8}$$

where ω_c is just the classical cyclotron frequency.

Each Landau level has degeneracy $BA/2\pi$ where A is the area of the system. The physical origin of this vast degeneracy is clear from the preceding discussion. Because of translation invariance the Larmor circles may be placed anywhere. The degeneracy is just the area of the system divided by the area of the Larmor circle. It is thus natural to define a filling factor defined as the ratio of the number of electrons to the number of states in a Landau level

$$\nu = \frac{N_e}{(eBA/2\pi)} = \frac{2\pi n_e}{eB} \tag{1.9}$$

where n_e is the density of electrons. Note that

$$\nu^{-1} = \frac{(BA/N_e)}{2\pi} \tag{1.10}$$

is equal to the flux per particle measured in fundamental units of flux. (Here and in what follows, we will often choose units such that $\hbar, c$, and e are set equal to 1. In these units the fundamental unit of flux is $hc/e = 2\pi$.)

(To make the definition of the filling factor precise, we have to discuss the quantum Hall system on a sphere rather than on a plane. On a sphere, the number of states in a Landau level is a discrete finite number. We will not worry about such details here. See section XIII for a discussion.)

Imagine filling the system with non-interacting electrons. By the Pauli exclusion principle, each succeeding electron we put in has to go into a different state in the first Landau level. Clearly then, the situation when the first Landau level is just filled is special. (This

corresponds to the situation in which the Larmor circles just fills up the plane in our heuristic argument.) The next electron would have to go into the second Landau level. To put in just one more electron would cost us much more energy than what we spent for the preceding electron. The quantum Hall fluid with filling fraction ν equal to 1, and more generally equal to an integer, is incompressible. Any attempt to compress a quantum Hall fluid lessens the degeneracy of the Landau levels (the effective area A decreases and so the degeneracy $\frac{BA}{2\pi}$ decreases) and thus some of the electrons would have to be pushed to the next level, costing energy. This is the essence of the so-called integer quantum Hall effect.

Thus, the integer quantum Hall effect is relatively easy to understand and indeed was anticipated in the theoretical literature.[4]

(The actual theory is more complicated and has yet to be worked out in all details. One has to understand how the simple picture given here is modified by the presence of impurities and by the interaction between electrons. An understanding of how impurities localize the electron states is essential to understanding the experimental data, a subject that would take us far beyond the scope of these lectures. Let us content ourselves with a brief caricature here. Impurities may be treated as a bunch of short ranged potentials with random strength and placed randomly. Some of the single electron wave functions are localized: the electron is trapped in a potential well and its wave function falls off exponentially. Some other wave functions are extended: they manage to avoid the deeper potential wells and to wind their way around and between the potential wells. Clearly, only electrons in the extended wave functions can carry electric current from one side of the sample to the other and thus contribute to the conductance. Thus, we expect a given Landau level to broaden out into a band of nearly, but not quite, degenerate states. It turns out that most of the states in the Landau band are localized except for some extended states in the middle. Thus, as we fill the system with electrons, the conductance stays contant and then suddenly jumps as the fermi energy exceeds the energy of the extended

states in the middle of the band.)

In contrast, the experimental discovery of the fractional Hall effect, namely that the Hall fluid is also incompressible for filling factor ν equal to simple odd denominator fractions such as 1/3 and 1/5, took theorists completely by surprise. In our simple picture, for filling factor equal to 1/3 for example, only one third of the states in the first Landau level is filled. It would seem that throwing in a few more electrons would not have that much effect on the system. Why should the $\nu = 1/3$ Hall fluid be also incompressible? Here the interaction between electrons is crucial. Let us fill the first Landau level with non-interacting spinless electron up to $\nu = 1/3$. The important point is that this does not define a unique many-body state: there is an enormous degeneracy. Each of the electrons can go into any of the $BA/2\pi$ states available subject only to the constraint of Pauli exclusion. But as soon as we turn on a repulsive interaction, a presumably unique ground state is picked out, within the space of this vast set of degenerate states.

We have an important clue in trying to understand why Hall fluids with inverse filling factor ν^{-1} equal to an odd integer are special. Notice that $\nu = N_e/N_\phi$. For the fluids in question, the number of flux quantum per electron is an odd integer. What does that tell us?

II. QUANTUM PHASE AND FRACTIONAL STATISTICS

Clearly, the interplay between the quantum of charge and the quantum of flux is going to be of central importance to our discussion. I assume you know about the celebrated Aharonov-Bohm effect.[5] When a charged particle of charge q goes around a flux Φ, the quantum wave function of the system acquires a phase factor equal to

$$e^{iq\Phi} \tag{2.1}$$

This effect forms the basis of the phenomenon of fractional statistics.[6,7,8,9] By now it is well known how fractional statistics can be implemented in a field theory context.[8] For later use in these lectures, let me remind you how this is done and at the same time I will end up explaining to you what fractional statistics is.

Consider a theory in (2+1) dimensional spacetime with a conserved current j^μ. Let us couple a gauge potential a_μ to this current and describe the dynamics of the gauge potential by a so-called Chern-Simons term[10,11,12]

$$\mathcal{L} = 2\gamma\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}a_\mu\partial_\nu a_\lambda + a_\mu j^\mu \tag{2.2}$$

Here $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ denotes the totally antisymmetric symbol in (2+1) dimensional spacetime and γ an arbitrary real parameter. Note that under a gauge transformation $a_\mu \to a_\mu + \partial_\mu\Lambda$, the Chern-Simons term changes by $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}a_\mu\partial_\nu a_\lambda \to \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}a_\mu\partial_\nu a_\lambda + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\mu\Lambda\partial_\nu a_\lambda$. The action changes by

$$\delta S = 2\gamma\int d^3x\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\mu(\Lambda\partial_\nu a_\lambda) \tag{2.3}$$

Thus, if we are allowed to drop boundary terms, which we will assume to be the case for now, the Chern-Simons action is gauge invariant. Later we will worry about situations in which we are not allowed to drop the boundary terms.

Consider the equation of motion from (2.2) then. We have

$$4\gamma\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu a_\lambda = -j^\mu \tag{2.4}$$

Around a particle sitting at rest, the current j_i vanishes. From (2.4) we can calculate the flux on the particle to be

$$\int d^2x(\partial_1 a_2 - \partial_2 a_1) = -\frac{1}{4\gamma}\int d^2x j^0 \tag{2.5}$$

Thus, the Chern-Simons term has the effect of endowing the charged particles in the theory with flux. According to Aharonov-Bohm then, when one of our particles moves

around another, the wave function acquires a phase. (Here charged particles simply means the particles which couple to the gauge potential a_μ. In this context, when we refer to charge and flux, we are not referring to the charge and flux associated with the ordinary electromagnetic field. We are simply borrowing a useful set of terminology.)

The existence of bosons and fermions represents one of the most profound features of quantum physics. When we interchange two identical quantum particles, the wave function acquires a factor of either $+1$ or -1. Note that to interchange two particles, we can move one of them half-way around the other and then translate both of them by an appropriate distance. Thus, when we interchange two particles described by (2.2), the wave function acquires an additional phase, in addition to whatever phase the wave function would have acquired due to the "intrinsic" quantum statistics of the particles (fermi or bose), that is, whatever phase the wave function would have acquired in the absence of the Chern-Simons term. The particles are said to carry fractional statistics.

It is customary to characterize fractional statistics by a parameter θ such that when two particles both with statistics described by θ are interchanged the wave function acquires a phase factor $e^{i\theta}$. I leave it to the student to work out that for the particles described in (2.5) the statistics parameter is given by

$$\theta = \frac{1}{8\gamma} \tag{2.6}$$

There is a somewhat tricky factor of two discussed in Ref. 13. So if you disagree with (2.6) by a factor of two, don't despair. Try again.

Strictly speaking, the term "fractional statistics" is somewhat misleading. First, a trivial remark is that the statistics parameter θ/π does not have to be a fraction. Here, statistics is not directly related to counting. The statistics between our particles is perhaps better thought of as a long-ranged phase interaction between them, mediated by a gauge boson. In any case, the effective statistics of particles living in (2+1) dimensional spacetime

may be other than bose or fermi. Particles carrying fractional statistics are called anyons. Particles with $\theta = \pi/2$ are called semions.

An alternative treatment is to integrate out the gauge potential a altogether. We can either functionally integrate out a in the path integral formalism or simply solve for a in terms of j and insert the result in (2.5). Let me remind you how this goes. Given the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \phi \mathcal{Q} \phi + \phi J, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ denotes some operator, we have the equation of motion

$$2\mathcal{Q}\phi = -J \tag{2.8}$$

with the solution

$$\phi = \frac{-1}{2\mathcal{Q}} J \tag{2.9}$$

Eliminating ϕ in the Lagrangian, we obtain immediately the effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -J \frac{1}{4\mathcal{Q}} J \tag{2.10}$$

Applying this formalism to our Lagrangian (2.2) we obtain the non-local Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{-1}{8\gamma} j (\frac{1}{\epsilon \partial}) j \tag{2.11}$$

This is known as the Hopf term and was described in Ref. 8. There is a slight technical subtlety here: due to gauge invariance the inverse of the differential operator $\epsilon\partial$ is not defined. In other words, it has a zero mode of the form (∂_λ acting on anything smooth), that is,

$$(\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu)\partial_\lambda \text{acting on anything smooth} = 0 \tag{2.12}$$

This just the usual complication associated with gauge theories: we just fix the gauge. Choose the Lorentz gauge

$$\partial a = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

Then the Hopf term becomes

$$\mathcal{L} \;=\; \frac{1}{8\gamma} j_\mu \left(\frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu}{\partial^2}\right) j_\lambda \,. \tag{2.14}$$

The fractional statistics parameter can be determined as follows. Consider two particles, one sitting at rest, the other moving half-way around the one sitting at rest. The current j is then equal to the sum of two terms describing the two particles respectively. Plugging into (2.14) we obtain the result for the fractional statistics parameter that we cite above in (2.6).

Field theorists have greatly enthused over the Chern-Simons term[10,11,12] in recent years. Let us just mention several of its endearing properties that are particularly relevant for our purposes.

(1) For the Chern-Simons term, gauge invariance implies Lorentz invariance. Condensed matter physics is of course non-relativistic. Thus, *a priori*, one may think that there are two separate Chern-Simons terms, $\epsilon_{ij} a_i \partial_0 a_j$ and $\epsilon_{ij} a_0 \partial_i a_j$. Gauge invariance under $a_\mu \to a_\mu - \partial_\mu \Lambda$, however, implies that the two terms must combine into the Chern-Simons term $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} a_\mu \partial_\nu a_\lambda$. In contrast, the Maxwell term would in general be non-relativistic, consisting of two terms, $(f_{0i})^2$ and $(f_{ij})^2$, with an arbitrary relative coefficient between them (with $f_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu a_\nu - \partial_\nu a_\mu$ as usual). This is one reason that relativistic field theorists find this subject of topological Hall and anyon fluids so appealing. As long as we are interested only in long distance physics we can ignore the Maxwell term (see the next remark) and play with a relativistic theory.

(2) The Chern-Simons term dominates the Maxwell term f^2 at long distances: it contains only one derivative while the Maxwell term contains two. Note that this picks out (2+1)-dimensional spacetime as special. In (3+1)-dimensional spacetime the generalization of the Chern-Simons term is $\epsilon \partial a \partial a$, the $f\tilde{f}$ term much studied in connection with quantum chromodynamics, it has the same scale dimension as the Maxwell term f^2. In higher

dimensions, the Chern-Simons term, for example $\epsilon a \partial a \partial a$ in (4+1)-dimensional space, is less important at long distances than the Maxwell term f^2. (However, there is an alternative generalization of the Chern-Simons term to higher dimensions.[14])

(3) Another important property of the Chern-Simons term is its topological character. We will postpone a discussion of this crucial point.

We also mention for later use that the Chern-Simons term gives a mass to the gauge boson.[11] We all know that a gauge potential with dynamics described solely by the Maxwell term is massless. Consider the Lagrangian with an added Chern-Simons term (written schematically)

$$\mathcal{L} \sim k a \epsilon \partial a + \frac{1}{g^2} f^2 \tag{2.15}$$

The important point is that, as remarked above, the Chern-Simons term contains one derivative, while the Maxwell term contains two. Thus, in momentum space, the inverse propagator of the gauge boson of momentum q has the schematic form $kq + q^2/g^2$ where we suppressed all Lorentz indices etc. The propagator has a pole at $q \sim g^2 k$ and the gauge boson has a mass of order $g^2 k$, proportional to the coefficient of the Chern-Simons term. I leave it as an exercise for the reader to put in all the Lorentz indices and to show that the apparent pole at $q = 0$ describes a gauge degree of freedom.

Incidentally, this clears up an apparent paradox. Some physicists puzzled by fractional statistics have reasoned that since the gauge boson described by the Chern-Simons term is massive and hence short ranged, it can't possible generate fractional statistics, which is manifestly an infinite ranged interaction. No matter how far the two particles we are interchanging are, the wave function still acquires a phase. The resolution is that the information is in fact propagated over infinite range by the $q = 0$ pole noted above. This apparent paradox is intimately connected with the puzzlement many physicists (including Bohr, for example) felt when they first heard of the Aharonov-Bohm effect. How can a

particle in a region with no magnetic field whatsoever and arbitrarily far from the magnetic flux know about the existence of the magnetic flux?

III. FRACTIONAL HALL FLUIDS

With this preliminary about fractional statistics out of the way, we are now ready to see why Hall fluids with inverse filling factor equal to an odd integer might be special. Recall that in this case the background magnetic field contains an odd number of magnetic flux quanta per electron.

The first argument we cite is due to Jain.[15,16] Imagine an adiabatic process in which we somehow move some of the flux quanta so that p units of flux are attached to each electron. For p even, the additional Dirac-Aharonov-Bohm phase associated with moving one electron around another is $e^{i\pi p} = 1$ and so the statistics of the electron is unchanged. The electrons are now moving in a reduced magnetic field $B_{\rm eff} = B - 2\pi pn$ where n is the number density of electrons. (Recall that in our convention, the unit of flux is 2π.) The filling factor has been increased to $\nu_{\rm eff}$, given by $\nu_{\rm eff}^{-1} = (B - 2\pi pn)/2\pi n = \nu^{-1} - p$. For $\nu_{\rm eff} = m$ an integer, we have $\nu^{-1} = p + m^{-1}$ and

$$\nu \;=\; \frac{m}{mp+1}\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Thus, fractional Hall systems with $\nu = m/(mp+1)$ (p even) may be adiabatically changed into an integer Hall system with filling factor m, as was also emphasized by Greiter and Wilczek.[17] Note that this argument gives us more than we had hoped for. The case we wanted to understand, with $\nu^{-1} =$ an odd integer, is obtained for $m = 1$.

In this rather neat way, the fractional Hall fluid, more precisely, a Hall fluid with $\nu = m/(mp+1)$ with m an integer and p an even integer, is related to the integer Hall

fluid. We may thus want to argue that since the integer Hall fluid is incompressible the fractional Hall fluid is also incompressible.

Another argument, historically earlier than Jain's argument, is due to Zhang, Hansson, and Kivelson[18]. Imagine attaching all of the flux to the electrons. Thus, each electron gets attached to it an odd number of flux quanta. By our preceding argument, the electrons with the attached flux quanta become bosons. We now have bosons moving in the absence of a background magnetic field. Since bosons can condense accoding to Bose and Einstein, we conclude that Hall fluids with inverse filling factor equal to an odd integer is energetically favored.

At this point, I may interject a historical remark which may be of interest to some readers. In early 1974, after I wrote the paper with Wilczek on how fractional statistics may be implemented in field theory by introducing the Chern-Simons term,[8] I gave talks at various institutions. I had a transparency mentioning that since statistics could be transformed at will in (2+1) dimensions, we can always represent a system of electrons on the plane as bosons. A Nobel laureate in the audience was quite taken by this remark; however, he and I couldn't imagine how it could be any possible use. Several years had to pass before Zhang *et al.*[18] figured out the relevance of this remark for the Hall system. At another institution, another Nobel laureate advised me after my talk to abandon this line of work. He felt that this sort of "mathematical fooling around" wouldn't do me much good.

IV. A GENERAL APPROACH TO HALL FLUIDS

Now that we have determined the physics responsible for making Hall fluids with special filling factors incompressible, we are now ready to construct the effective field

theory describing Hall fluids.[19,20,21,22,23,24,25] Before I do this, I will first give you a slick argument indicating what the effective field theory must be. I will take the "high road" and proceed completely from general principles.[26]

Let us start by listing what we know about the Hall system.

(1) We are living in (2+1) dimensional spacetime (because the electrons are restricted to a plane.)

(2) The electromagnetic current J_μ is conserved: $\partial^\mu J_\mu = 0$.

These two statements are certainly indisputable, but when combined they already tell us that the current can be written as the curl of a vector potential, *i.e.,*

$$J^\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu\alpha_\lambda \tag{4.1}$$

The factor of $1/(2\pi)$ defines the normalization of α_μ. We learned in school that in three dimensional spacetime, if the divergence of something is zero, then that something is the curl of something else. That is precisely what (4.1) says. The only sophistication here is that what we learned in school works in Minkowskian space as well as Euclidean space — that is just a matter of a few signs here and there.

We now note that when we transform α_μ by $\alpha_\mu \to \alpha_\mu - \partial_\mu\Lambda$, the current is unchanged. In other words, α_μ is a gauge potential.

We did not go looking for a gauge potential, the gauge potential came looking for us! There is no place to hide. The existence of a gauge potential follows from completely general considerations.

(3) We want to describe the system field theoretically by an effective local Lagrangian.

(4) We are only interested in the physics at long distances and large time, that is, at small wave number and low frequency.

Indeed, a field theoretic description of a physical system may be regarded as a means of organizing various aspects of the relevant physics in a systematic way according to their relative importance at long distances and according to symmetries. We classify terms in a field theoretic Lagrangian according to powers of derivatives, powers of the field variables, and so forth. A general scheme for classifying terms is according to their (naive) scaling dimensions. In (2+1) dimensional spacetime, the current has mass dimension 2, and thus the gauge potential α_μ has dimension 1, as is the case for any gauge potential coupled to matter fields according to the gauge principle.

(5) Parity and time reversal are broken by the external magnetic field.

This last statement is just as indisputable as the statements in (1) and (2). The experimentalist produces the magnetic field by driving a current through a coil with the current flowing either clockwise or anti-clockwise.

I will now show that given these five general statements we can deduce the form of the effective Lagrangian.

Since gauge invariance forbids the dimension 2 term $\alpha_\mu \alpha^\mu$ in the Lagrangian, the simplest possible term is in fact the dimension 3 Chern-Simons term $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\alpha_\mu \partial_\nu \alpha_\lambda$. Thus, the Lagrangian (density) is simply

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{k}{4\pi}\alpha\epsilon\partial\alpha + \ldots \tag{4.2}$$

where k is a dimensionless coefficient that we have yet to determine.

We have introduced and will use henceforth the compact notation $\epsilon\alpha\partial\beta \equiv \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\,\alpha_\mu \partial_\nu \beta_\lambda = \epsilon\beta\partial\alpha$ for two vector fields α_μ and β_μ, where $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}$ denotes the antisymmetric symbol in (2+1) dimensional spacetime.

The terms indicated by $(\ldots)$ in (4.2) include the dimension 4 Maxwell term $\frac{1}{g^2}(f_{0i}^2 - f_{ij}^2)$ and other terms with higher dimensions. The important observation is that these higher

dimensional terms are less important at long distances. The long distance physics is determined purely by the Chern-Simons term, (provided $k \neq 0$ of course).

Note that in general the coefficient k may well be zero, in which case the physics is determined by the short distance terms represented by the $(\ldots)$ in (4.2). Put differently, a Hall fluid may be defined as a two dimensional electron system for which the coefficient of the Chern-Simons term does not vanish, and consequently is such that its long distance physics is largely independent of the microscopic details that define the system. Indeed, we may classify two dimensional electron systems according to whether k is zero or not.

To determine k we couple the system to an "external" or "additional" electromagnetic gauge potential A_μ. From (4.1) and (4.2) we now have

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{k}{4\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\alpha_\mu\partial_\nu\alpha_\lambda - \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}A_\mu\partial_\nu\alpha_\lambda = \frac{k}{4\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\alpha_\mu\partial_\nu\alpha_\lambda - \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\alpha_\mu\partial_\nu A_\lambda \tag{4.3}$$

(In writing the second equality sign, we have dropped a total derivative.) Note that the gauge potential of the magnetic field responsible for the Hall effect should not be included in A_μ; it is implicitly contained already in the coefficient k. We can now integrate out α to obtain an effective Lagrangian for A. We simply plug in (2.11)

$$\frac{\pi}{k}j_\mu\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu\frac{1}{\partial^2}j_\lambda \tag{4.4}$$

and recognize that in (4.4) the current J_μ is just $\frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu A_\lambda$ from (4.3). We obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{4\pi k}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}A_\mu\partial_\nu A_\lambda. \tag{4.5}$$

The electromagnetic current that flows in response to A_μ is thus

$$J^\mu \equiv -\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}}{\delta A_\mu} = \frac{1}{2\pi k}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu A_\lambda. \tag{4.6}$$

The time component of this equation tells us that an excess density δn of electrons is related to a local fluctuation of the magnetic field by

$$\delta n = \frac{1}{2\pi k}\delta B. \tag{4.7}$$

Since we are describing a system with filling factor $\nu = 1$, we should have k equal to 1.

We will see later that there is a mathematical argument showing that k must be an integer.

Thus far, we have discussed only non-interacting electrons. Let us now introduce interactions between the electrons. We have just argued that the Chern-Simons term is the only possible term at long distances. Thus, the only effect of the interaction can be to modify the coefficient of the Chern-Simons term.

We find it convenient to immediately study a more general situation. Consider non-interacting electrons at a density such that they fill m Landau levels: $\nu = 2\pi n/B = m$. We argue that the current of the electrons belonging to each Landau level is separately conserved. Physically, the large gap between the Landau levels implies that it is a good approximation to take the separate levels as dynamically independent. Thus, we introduce m gauge potentials as in (4.1)

$$J_I = \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon\partial\alpha_I \tag{4.8}$$

for $I = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. We have suppressed indices. The Lagrangian (4.2) is then generalized to

$$4\pi\mathcal{L} = \sum_I \alpha_I \epsilon\partial\alpha_I \tag{4.9}$$

We now take into account the interaction between the electrons. The interaction couples the different Landau levels, or equivalently the α_I's. We insist on the physical argument that the electrons ultimately can only interact electromagnetically. The interaction should only involve the total electromagnetic current $J = \sum J_I = \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon\partial\left(\sum \alpha_I\right)$, not the individual currents J_I. Thus, interaction can only change the Lagrangian to

$$4\pi\mathcal{L} = \sum_I \alpha_I \epsilon\partial\alpha_I + p(\sum_I \alpha_I)\epsilon\partial(\sum_J \epsilon\partial\alpha_J), \tag{4.10}$$

where p is some real constant to be determined. Or more compactly, we can write the Lagrangian as

$$\mathcal{L} \;=\; \frac{1}{4\pi}\,\alpha K \varepsilon \partial \alpha \tag{4.11}$$

Here α now denotes the column or row vector $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ and we introduce the m by m matrix

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} p+1 & p & \ldots & p \\ p & p+1 & & \cdot \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ p & \ldots & \ldots & p+1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.12}$$

or more concisely

$$K \equiv I + p\,C \tag{4.13}$$

where C is the matrix in which every entry is equal to 1. The real number k in (4.2) has now been promoted to a matrix K.

The notion of quasiparticles or "elementary" excitations is basic to condensed matter physics. The effects of many-body interaction may be such that the quasi-particles in the system are no longer the electrons that make up the system at the microscopic level. Here we define the quasiparticles as the entities which couple to the gauge potential. Thus, we add to the Lagrangian in (4.12)

$$\alpha j = \sum_I \alpha_I^\mu j_{I\mu} \tag{4.14}$$

As we will see later, these quasiparticles may be identified as vortices in the Hall fluid. We will sometimes referred to them as vortices.

Just as in (4.3) we may couple the system to an external electromagnetic potential A, so that after integration by parts we add to the Lagrangian the term

$$-\sum_I \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\alpha_{I\mu}\partial_\nu A_\lambda \tag{4.15}$$

Thus, we can incorporate these two couplings rather compactly by introducing an effective current $\tilde{j}_I$ as the I-th quasiparticle or vortex current corrected by the external electromag-

netic field:

$$\tilde{j}_{I\mu} \;=\; j^{\rm vortex}_{I\mu} \;-\; \frac{1}{2\pi}\,\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\,\partial^{\nu}A^{\lambda}\,. \tag{4.16}$$

Thus, finally we obtain

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\alpha K\varepsilon\partial\alpha + \alpha j \tag{4.17}$$

Integrating out the gauge fields entirely we obtain a matrix version of the Hopf interaction[8]

$$\mathcal{L} \;=\; \pi\tilde{j}_{\mu}K^{-1}(\frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_{\nu}}{\partial^2})\tilde{j}_{\lambda}\,. \tag{4.18}$$

We now have "the world's most compact" representation of the fractional quantum Hall fluid. Here K denotes an m-by-m matrix in which an unknown number p appears. Everything else is fixed. At this point, it may also be helpful to remind the reader that for $m = 1$ this effective Lagrangian simplifies drastically: there is only one gauge potential and the matrix K collapses into a number $(p+1)^{-1}$.

We can now easily read off the physics from (4.18). The Lagrangian contains three types of terms: AA, Aj, and jj. The AA term has the schematic form $A\frac{\epsilon\partial\epsilon\partial\epsilon\partial}{\partial^2}A$. Using $\epsilon\partial\epsilon\partial \sim \partial^2$ and cancelling between numerator and denominator, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\epsilon A\partial A\left(\sum_{IJ}K^{-1}_{IJ}\right). \tag{4.19}$$

Since A does not know about the index I the dependence on the matrix K can only factorize into the form shown. Compare this with (4.5). Just as before, we vary with respect to A to find the electromagnetic current

$$J^{\mu}_{em} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_{\nu}A_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{IJ}K^{-1}_{IJ}\right). \tag{4.20}$$

The $\mu = i$ components of this equation tell us that an electric field produces a current in the orthogonal direction. The Hall conductance is thus

$$\sigma_H = \sum_{IJ}K^{-1}_{IJ}. \tag{4.21}$$

equal to the filling factor ν.

The Aj term has the schematic form $j\frac{\epsilon\partial\epsilon\partial}{\partial^2}A$. Cancelling the differential operators, we find

$$\mathcal{L} = A^\mu \sum_{IJ} K^{-1}_{IJ} j_{J_\mu}. \tag{4.22}$$

Thus, the electric charge of the Ith type of vortices is determined to be

$$q_I = \sum_J K^{-1}_{JI}. \tag{4.23}$$

Finally, the vortices interact with each other via

$$\mathcal{L} = \pi \sum_{IJ} j^\mu_I K^{-1}_{IJ} \frac{\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu}{\partial^2} j_J. \tag{4.24}$$

We simply remove the twiddle sign in (>> 11.19a <<). Referring to our discussion in section II we see that a bound state of vortices consisting of l_I vortices of the Ith type would have statistics given by

$$\frac{\theta}{\pi} = \sum_{IJ} l_I K^{-1}_{IJ} l_J. \tag{4.25}$$

The three formulas (4.21), (4.23), and (4.25) for the Hall conductance, the vortex charge, and vortex statistics, hold for any K. Before we try to invert K let us apply these formulas to the simplest case of $m = 1$, in which, as the reader may recall, the matrix K collapses to a 1-by-1 matrix equal to $(p + 1)$. Matrix inversion is now trivial. We find instantly that

$$\sigma_H = \frac{1}{p+1}, \tag{4.26}$$

$$q = \frac{1}{p+1}, \tag{4.27}$$

and

$$\frac{\theta}{\pi} = \frac{1}{p+1}. \tag{4.28}$$

By now, the reader may well be wondering that while all this is fine and good, what would actually allow us to determine this unknown constant p? We would now like to argue that the electron or hole should appear somewhere in the excitation spectrum.[27] After all, the theory is supposed to describe a system of electrons and thus far our rather general Lagrangian does not contain any reference to the electron!

Let us now look for the hole (or electron). We note from (4.27) that a bound object made up of $(p+1)$ vortices would have charge equal to 1. This is perhaps the hole. For this to work, we see that p has to be an integer.

What is the statistics of this bound state? Let us move one of these bound objects half way around another such bound object, thus effectively interchanging them. When each vortex moves around another we pick up a phase given by $\frac{\theta}{\pi} = \frac{1}{(p+1)}$ according to (4.28). But here we have $(p+1)$ vortices going around $(p+1)$ vortices and so we pick up a phase

$$\frac{\theta}{\pi} = \frac{1}{(p+1)}(p+1)^2 = (p+1). \tag{4.29}$$

For the hole to be a fermion we must require $\frac{\theta}{\pi}$ to be an odd integer. This fixes p to be an even integer.

Referring to (4.26) we see that we are describing here fractional Hall fluids with filling factor $\nu = 1/(p+1)$ with $p =$ an even integer, precisely the classic Laughlin odd-denominator Hall fluids. From (4.27) and (4.28) we recover the famous result that the quasi-particles carry fractional charge and statistics.

It is actually not difficult to invert the matrix K we have in (4.12). Using the fact that C is proportional to a projection ($C^2 = mC$), we can readily invert $K = I + pC$ to find

$$K^{-1} = I - \left(\frac{p}{1+mp}\right) C. \tag{4.30}$$

We find immediately that

$$\sigma_H = \frac{m}{mp+1}, \tag{4.31}$$

$$q_I = \frac{1}{mp+1}, \tag{4.32}$$

and

$$\frac{\theta}{\pi} = \left(1 - \frac{p}{mp+1}\right)\left(\sum_I l_I\right)^2 \tag{4.33}$$

Note that q_I is independent of I (this would not be true for the more general K matrices we will discuss below.) We will leave it to the reader to work out that in this more complicated case also p must be an even integer. (A general treatment will be given later.)

Let us end this section with a couple of comments. Suppose we refuse to introduce gauge potentials. Since the current J_μ has dimension 2, the simplest term constructed out of the currents, $J_\mu J^\mu$, is already of dimension 4; indeed, this is just the Maxwell term. There is no way of constructing a dimension 3 local interaction out of the currents directly. To lower the dimension we are forced to introduce the inverse of the derivative and write schematically $J\frac{1}{\epsilon\partial}J$, which is of course just the non-local Hopf term. Thus, the question "why gauge field?" people often asked may be answered in part by saying that the introduction of gauge fields allows us to avoid dealing with non-local interactions.

What we have given in this section is certainly a very slick derivation of the effective long distance theory of the Hall fluid. Some would say too slick. Let us go back to our five general statements or principles. Of these five, four are absolutely indisputable. In fact, the most questionable is the statement that looks the most innocuous to the casual reader, namely (3). In general, the effective Lagrangian for a condensed matter system would be non-local. We are implicitly assuming that the system does not contain massless field the exchange of which would lead to non-local interaction. Also implicit in (3) is the assumption that the Lagrangian can be expressed completely in terms of the gauge potential α. A priori, we certainly do not know that there might not be other relevant degrees of freedom. The point is that as long as these degrees of freedom are not gapless they can be integrated out.

V. DETAILED CONSTRUCTION OF FIELD THEORY OF HALL FLUIDS

For those who find the derivation given above too slick, we will now give a much "sweatier" derivation of the field theory of Hall fluids, making a series of approximations justified at each step by saying that we are interested only in the long distance physics. We will implement the physical picture described earlier in connection with Jain's argument. Let us start with the Lagrangian describing spinless electrons in a magnetic field in the second quantized formalism:

$$\mathcal{L} \;=\; \psi^{+}\, i(\partial_0 - i\, A_0)\, \psi + \frac{1}{2m}\, \psi^{+}(\partial_i - i\, A_i)^2 \psi \tag{5.1}$$

Here A_μ denotes the external fixed electromagnetic potential. To attach flux to the electrons, consider the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}' \;&=\; \psi^{+'} i(\partial_0 - i(\alpha_0 - A_0))\psi' + \frac{1}{2m}\psi^{+'}(\partial_i - i(\alpha_i - A_i))^2\psi' \\ &+\; \frac{1}{4\pi p}\, \epsilon\, \alpha\, \partial\, \alpha\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Here α_μ denotes a gauge potential. From our discussion in section I we see that we have attached p units of flux to each electron. These "dressed" electrons are now moving in a reduced magnetic field $B_{\text{eff}} \;=\; B \;- b \;=\; B \;- 2\pi p n\,.$

Recall that in Jain's argument we would like to relate our fractional Hall field to an integer Hall fluid with filling factor $\nu = m$. The transition between the m Landau levels involve short distance high frequency physics which we are ignoring. We thus argue that we are justified in treating the different Landau levels as independent degrees of freedom. We thus replace the fermionic field ψ' in (5.2) by m bosonic fields $\phi_I, I = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Recall from section II that we can change statistics by coupling a Chern-Simons gauge potential to each bosonic field. Thus, we argue that the integer Hall system may be described by

the effective theory

$$\mathcal{L} \;=\; \sum_{I=1}^{m}\Big\{\phi_I^+\, i(\partial_0 - i(\alpha_0 + a_{I0} - A_0))\phi_I \;+\; \frac{1}{2M}\phi_I^+(\partial_i - i(\alpha_i + a_{Ii} - A_i))^2\phi_I \\ -\; V_I(\phi_I^+\phi_I) \;+\; \frac{1}{4\pi}\varepsilon a_I \partial a_I\Big\} \;+\; \frac{1}{4\pi p}\varepsilon\alpha\partial\alpha\,. \tag{5.3}$$

Here ϕ_I is a bose field corresponding to the I^{th} Landau level, and a_I is a gauge potential that converts the bose field ϕ_I into effectively a fermionic degree of freedom. The potential $V_I(\phi_I^+\phi_I)$, whose detailed form we don't need to specify, represent a possible short distance interaction between electrons in the I^{th} Landau level. We have stacked m copies of the effective theory for the $\nu = 1$ Hall system on top of each other in order to describe the $\nu = m$ Hall system.[22,28]

Unfortunately, at this point we have to interrupt the flow of our narrative in order to introduce a formalism that we need, that of duality transform.

VI. DUALITY AND VORTICES

In (4.19) we have a number of scalar boson fields. It turned out that we can represent the effective degrees of freedom contained in these scalar fields by using gauge potentials. In this section we will describe this so-called duality formalism,[29,30,31] which is of importance in its own right.

Consider a relativistic Landau-Ginzburg theory

$$\mathcal{L} = |\partial_\mu\Phi|^2 - a|\Phi|^2 - b|\Phi|^4. \tag{6.1}$$

In the superconducting phase, a is negative, and we have a Goldstone mode η corresponding to the phase of $\Phi : \Phi = |\Phi|e^{i\eta}$. The dynamics of η is described by the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2h^2}(\partial_\mu\eta)^2 \tag{6.2}$$

where we have chosen spacetime units so that the phonon velocity is unity and we denote $|\Phi|^2$ by h^{-2}. I am describing the relativistic theory here for pedagogical reasons: the formulas are much simpler and the results are essentially the same. Later in this section, I will give a non-relativistic treatment. (Throughout this section we will treat the electromagnetic gauge field A_μ as a background classical field that we can switch on at the end of our calculation.)

In (2+1)-dimensional spacetime, we can go to a dual representation by introducing a gauge potential a_μ and a gauge field $f_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu a_\nu - \partial_\nu a_\mu$ related to η by

$$\partial_\mu \eta = \beta h^2 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} f^{\nu\lambda} \tag{6.3}$$

We will now explain a choice of the arbitrary overall constant β, which sets the scale of $f_{\mu\nu}$, so that the electromagnetic current J_μ takes on a convenient form. Had we switched on electromagnetism through the covariant derivative $(\partial_\mu - iA_\mu)\Phi$ we would have gotten, instead of (6.2), $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2h^2}(\partial_\mu \eta - A_\mu)^2$. Thus, we identify the electromagnetic current (or equivalently the velocity current in the superfluid) $J_\mu = \partial_\mu \eta / h^2$ (this is standard, of course) and hence $J_\mu = \beta \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} f^{\nu\lambda}$ according to (6.3). (Note that we have suppressed the charge ($= 2e$ for superconductors for example) carried by the order parameter Φ.)

In addition to the gapless excitation η, the superfluid also contains a gapful excitation, namely the vortex, one at each place where $|\Phi|$ vanishes. Consider the "electric" charge coupled to the gauge potential a_μ. Around this electric charge there is an electric field $f_{0i} \propto x_i / r^2$. According to (6.3), this describes a vortex flow $v_i \propto \epsilon_{ij} x_j / r^2$. Thus, we see that vortices correspond to electric charges in the dual theory. This fact lies at the heart of the duality picture. The reason we want to go to the dual picture is that the vortex, a complicated soliton in the original Landau-Ginzburg picture, is described by an elementary field in the dual picture.

We will now choose β so that the vortex carries unit "electric" charge. First, note that with (6.3), the Lagrangian (6.2) in the dual picture is just good old Maxwell

$$\mathcal{L} = -\beta^2 h^2 f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} \tag{6.4}$$

as in (>> 8.4 <<). Thus, if we couple a_μ to a current j_μ by adding $a_\mu j^\mu$ to (6.4) we obtain the Maxwell equation

$$4\beta^2 h^2 \partial^\mu f_{\mu\nu} = j_\nu. \tag{6.5}$$

Integrating the time component of this equation over space, normalizing the vortex charge

$$\int d^2x j_0 = 1 = \int d^2x 2\beta \partial_i(\epsilon_{ij}\partial_j \eta), \tag{6.6}$$

and keeping in mind the quantization of vortex circulation, namely $\oint dx_i \partial_i \eta = 2\pi$, we determine that $\beta = 1/(4\pi)$ and thus

$$J_\mu = \frac{1}{4\pi}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} f^{\nu\lambda}. \tag{6.7}$$

In summary then, the following effective Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} =& \frac{1}{4g^2}(2f_{0i}^2 - c^2 f_{ij}^2) + |(\partial_0 - ia_0)\phi|^2 \\ &+ v^2|(\partial_i - ia_i)\phi|^2 - m^2\phi^\dagger\phi\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

describes the long distance dynamics of the superfluid. We have denoted the field describing the vortex in the dual picture by ϕ. This is to be supplemented by the equation for the electromagnetic current

$$J_i = \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon_{ij} f_{0j}. \tag{6.9}$$

We have written a non-relativistic Lagrangian in (6.8) with the phonon velocity c and the vortex velocity v. We have taken the low momentum dispersion of the vortex to have the form $\omega = \sqrt{m^2 + v^2k^2} \simeq m^2 + \frac{v^2}{2m^2}k^2$. Note that m^2 represents the gap for creating a vortex-antivortex pair and m/v the effective "mass" of the vortex.

As promised, I will now give a non-relativistic treatment of duality. The treatment given here follows essentially that given by Fisher and Lee.[30] Let us start with bosons interacting via some short range repulsion

$$\mathcal{L} = i\phi^+\partial_0\phi - \frac{1}{2m}\partial_i\phi^+\partial_i\phi - \lambda(\phi^+\phi - \bar{\rho})^2 \tag{6.10}$$

We have written the usual quartic potential in a form emphasizing that these bosons are moving in a "neutralizing" background as is the case in actual applications to condensed matter systems.

We substitute

$$\phi = \rho^{1/2}e^{i\eta} \tag{6.11}$$

in (6.10) to obtain

$$\mathcal{L} = -\rho\partial_0\eta - \frac{\rho}{2m}(\partial_i\eta)^2 - \lambda(\rho - \bar{\rho})^2 + \ldots \tag{6.12}$$

which we rewrite as

$$\mathcal{L} = -\xi_\mu\partial^\mu\eta + \frac{m}{2\rho}\xi_i^2 - \lambda(\rho - \bar{\rho})^2 + \ldots \tag{6.13}$$

In (6.12) we have dropped a term $\sim (\partial_i\rho^{1/2})^2$. In (6.13) we have defined

$$\xi_0 \equiv \rho \tag{6.14}$$

Integrating out ξ_i in (6.13) we recover (6.12).

We introduce vortices by decomposing the angle variable into two parts:

$$\eta = \eta_{\text{smooth}} + \eta_{\text{vortex}} \tag{6.15}$$

The field η_{smooth} represents the phonon while η_{vortex} is such that when we go around a place where a vortex is located, η_{vortex} changes by 2π. The first term in (6.13) become $\xi_\mu\partial^\mu\eta_{\text{smooth}} + \xi_\mu\partial^\mu\eta_{\text{vortex}}$. Integrating out the phonon field η_{smooth}, we obtain the constraint

$$\partial^\mu\xi_\mu = 0 \tag{6.16}$$

which is solved by writing

$$\xi^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu \hat{a}_\lambda \tag{6.17}$$

The hat $\hat{}$ on a_μ is for later convenience.

It is at this point that the gauge field comes in. Note that

$$\xi_0 \equiv \rho = \epsilon_{ij}\partial_i \hat{a}_j \equiv \hat{f} \tag{6.18}$$

is the "magnetic" field strength while

$$\xi_i = \epsilon_{ij}(\partial_0 \hat{a}_j - \partial_j \hat{a}_0) \equiv \epsilon_{ij}\hat{f}_{0j} \tag{6.19}$$

is the "electric" field strength.

The coupling to the vortex angle η_{vortex} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\mu \partial^\mu \eta_{\text{vortex}} &= \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu \hat{a}_\lambda \partial_\mu \eta_{\text{vortex}} \\ &= \hat{a}_\lambda \left(\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu \partial_\mu \eta_{\text{vortex}} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

According to Leibniz and Newton, differentiation is a commuting operation and so the operator $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu\partial_\mu$ should be zero. Here it is operating, however, on a topologically singular function. The quantity in parenthesis is non-zero and in fact equal to the vortex current $j^\lambda_{\text{vortex}}$ up to a factor of 2π. To see this we integrate j^0_{vortex} over a circle containing a vortex. Using Stoke's theorem, we find (this is of course the same as (6.6))

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^2x \; j^0_{\text{vortex}} &= \int d^2x \; \epsilon_{ij}\partial_i\partial_j \eta_{\text{vortex}} = \oint dl_j \; \partial_j \eta_{\text{vortex}} \\ &= 2\pi \end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

Henceforth, we will ignore factors of 2π *etc.* in the interest of keeping the equations simple.

Putting all of this into (6.13) we have

$$\mathcal{L} = \hat{a}_\mu j^\mu_{\text{vortex}} + \frac{m}{\rho}\hat{f}^2_{0i} - \lambda(\hat{f} - \bar{\rho})^2 + \dots \tag{6.22}$$

To "subtract out" the background $\bar{\rho}$, an obviously sensible move is to write

$$\hat{a}_\mu = \bar{a}_\mu + a_\mu \tag{6.23}$$

where we define the background gauge potential by $\bar{a}_0 = 0$ and

$$\epsilon_{ij}\partial_i \bar{a}_j = \bar{\rho} \tag{6.24}$$

The Lagrangian (6.22) then has the cleaner form

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(\frac{m}{\bar{\rho}} f_{0i}^2 - \lambda f^2\right) + a_\mu j_\mu^{\text{vortex}} \; + \bar{a}_i j_i^{\text{vortex}} \tag{6.25}$$

We have expanded $\rho \approx \bar{\rho}$ in the first term. The theory contains a propagating mode with speed

$$c = (\lambda\bar{\rho}/m)^{1/2} \tag{6.26}$$

In suitable units in which $c = 1$, we now have the Maxwell Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2g^2} f_{\mu\nu}^2 + a_\mu j_\mu^{\text{vortex}} + \bar{a}_i j_i^{\text{vortex}} \tag{6.27}$$

Compare this with (6.8). Interestingly, the spatial part f_{ij}^2 of the Maxwell Lagrangian comes from the short ranged repulsion between the original bosons.

The one thing we missed with our relativistic treatment is the last term in (6.27), for the simple reason that we didn't put in a background. Recall that a term like $A_i J_i$ in ordinary electromagnetism means that a moving particle associated with the current J_i sees a magnetic field $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$. Thus, a moving vortex will see a "magnetic field" equal to the sum of the density of the original bosons and a fluctuating field

$$\epsilon_{ij}\partial_i(\bar{a} + a)_j = \bar{\rho} + \epsilon_{ij}\partial_i a_j \tag{6.28}$$

In the Coulomb gauge

$$\partial_i a_i = 0 \tag{6.29}$$

we have

$$(f_{0i})^2 = (\partial_0 a_i)^2 + (\partial_i a_0)^2 \tag{6.30}$$

where the cross term $(\partial_0 a_i)\,(\partial_i a_0)$ vanishes upon integration by parts. Integrating out the Coulomb field a_0 we have the Lagrangian as written in the condensed matter literature

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = & \frac{1}{2g^2}\left[(\partial_0 a_i)^2 - (\partial_i a_j - \partial_j a_i)^2\right] \\ & + g^2 \int\int d^2x d^2y\; j_0^{\text{vortex}}(x) \log|x-y| j_0^{\text{vortex}}(y) \\ & + (a_i + \bar{a}_i) j_i^{\text{vortex}}\end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

If we had taken the bosons to interact by an arbitrary potential $V(x)$ we would have, instead of the last term in (6.13),

$$\int\int d^2x d^2y\,(\rho(x)-\bar{\rho})\,V(x-y)(\rho(y)-\bar{\rho}) \tag{6.32}$$

It is easy to see that all the steps go through essentially as before, but now the second term in (6.25) becomes

$$\int\int d^2x d^2y f(x) V(x-y) f(y) \tag{6.33}$$

Thus, the gauge field propagates according to the dispersion relation

$$\omega^2 = (\bar{\rho}/m) V(k) \vec{k}^2 \tag{6.34}$$

where $V(k)$ is the Fourier transformation of $V(x)$. In the special case $V(x) = \lambda\delta^{(2)}(x)$ we recover the linear dispersion given in (6.26). Indeed, we have a linear dispersion $\omega \propto |\vec{k}|$ as long as $V(x)$ is sufficiently short ranged for $V(\vec{k}=0)$ to be finite.

An interesting case is when $V(x)$ is logarithmic. Then $V(k)$ goes like $1/k^2$ and so $\omega \sim$ constant: the gauge field a_i becomes massive. Referring to (6.31) we see that a theory of bosons with a logarithmic repulsion between them is in the long distance approximation self dual.

Having constructed this duality formalism, let us reward ourselves by deriving the motion of vortices in a fluid. Let the bulk of the fluid be at rest. According to (6.31) the vortex behaves like a charged particle in a background magnetic field $\bar{b}$ proportional to the

mean density of the fluid $\bar{\rho}$. Thus, the force acting on a vortex is the usual Lorentz force $\vec{v} \times \vec{B}$, and so the equation of motion of the vortex in the presence of a force F is just

$$\bar{\rho}\epsilon_{ij}\dot{x}_j = F_i \tag{6.35}$$

This is the well-known result that a vortex, when pushed, moves in a direction perpendicular to the force.

Consider two vortices. According to (6.31) they repel each other by a logarithmic interaction. They move perpendicular to the force. Thus, they end up circling each other. In contrast, consider a vortex and an anti-vortex. They attract each other. As a result of this attraction, the vortex and the anti-vortex both move in the same direction, perpendicular to the straight line joining them. The vortex and anti-vortex move along in step, maintaining the distance between them. This in fact accounts for the famous motion of a smoke ring. If we cut a smoke ring through the center of the ring and perpendicular to the plane the ring lies in, we have just a vortex with an anti-vortex for each section. Thus, the entire smoke ring moves along in a direction perpendicular to the plane it lies in.

All of this can be understood by elementary physics, as it should be. The key observation is simply that vortices and anti-vortices produce circular flows in the fluid around them, say clockwise for vortices and anti-clockwise for anti-vortices. Another basic observation is that if there is a local flow in the fluid, then any object, be it a vortex or an anti-vortex, caught in the flow would just flow along in the same direction as the local flow. This is a consequence of Galilean invariance. The reader can convince himeslf or herself by drawing a simple picture that this produces the same pattern of motion as discussed above.

This discussion of duality and vortices can be generalized readily to (3+1) dimensional spacetime.[32]

This discussion of duality is not quite rigorous. From standard topological discussions, we know that at the location of the vortex the magnitude field ρ must vanish. In other words, η_{vortex} and ρ should be coupled. This was ignored in the derivation. To give a rigorous discussion, we would have to put the theory on a lattice.

Let us also sketch what happens when the electromagnetic potential is turned on. We replace ordinary derivative ∂_μ by covariant derivative $\partial_\mu - iA_\mu$. In (6.13) instead of $\xi^\mu \partial_\mu \eta$ we have $\xi^\mu(\partial_\mu \eta - A_\mu)$. We thus have to drag along an extra term ξA and when ξ is replaced by the curl of a gauge potential this term becomes $A_\mu \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu \hat{a}_\lambda = A_0\bar{\rho} + A_\mu \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu a_\lambda$. The $A_0\bar{\rho}$ term is cancelled by the coupling of A_0 to the background. We see that the only modification in the Lagrangian in (6.27) is that the vortex current is replaced by $(j_\mu^{\text{vortex}} - \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial^\nu A^\lambda)$. When we integrate out a_μ (and suppressing j_μ^{vortex} for simplicity), we have schematically

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &\sim a\partial^2 a + a\epsilon\partial A \\ &\sim \epsilon\partial A \, \frac{1}{\partial^2} \, \epsilon\partial A \\ &\sim A^2 \end{aligned} \tag{6.36}$$

We have found the Meissner effect and hence superconductivity.

Compare this with (4.5) or (4.19) we see how the Meissner effect and the Hall effect are intimately related. It is merely a question of how many derivatives act on little a and big A. We summarize with a table:

$a\partial^2 a$	A^2	Meissner
$a\epsilon\partial a$	$A\epsilon\partial A$	Hall
a^2	$A\partial^2 A$	Maxwell

For completeness, we have included a third possibility: if for some reason the gauge

potential acquires a gauge symmetry breaking mass, we would have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &\sim a^2 + a\epsilon\partial A \\ &\sim \epsilon\partial A\epsilon\partial A \\ &\sim F^2\end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

We obtain the Maxwell term.

In a sense, this is duality at work[29].

Also, some of you may remember from Wiegmann's lectures that he considered superconductivity in (1+1) dimensions. Instead of the (2+1) dimensional coupling $A_\mu\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu a_\lambda$ we have its (1+1) analog $A_\mu\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\phi$. Thus, if ϕ obeys dynamics governed by $(\partial\phi)^2$, then we obtain the Meissner effect and superconductivity in exactly the same way as in (>> meiss <<).

Incidentally, here we have also cleared up a potential source of confusion in the discussion following (6.3). For the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2h^2}(\partial_\mu\eta - A_\mu)^2$, we were rather sloppy in identifying the current to be $J_\mu = \partial_\mu\eta/h^2 = \beta\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}f^{\nu\lambda}$. Some people may be confused by the quadratic A_μ^2 term in the Lagrangian and wonder if the current J_μ should depend on A_μ. (The subtlety here hinges on the gauge invariance of J_μ.) The procedure given here provides a resolution of this point. Let us then follow the steps outlined above and which, at the risk of repeating ourselves, we will sketch here. Starting with $\mathcal{L} \sim (\partial_\mu\eta - A_\mu)^2 \sim \xi_\mu(\partial_\mu\eta - A_\mu) + \xi_\mu^2$ we integrate out η to obtain the constraint $\partial^\mu\xi_\mu = 0$ which we solve by writing $\xi_\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu a_\lambda$. Plugging in, we find $\mathcal{L} \sim f^2 + A_\mu\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu a_\lambda$. The current is indeed as given above. (All of this is to done at the level of the path integral of course and may be made completely rigorous by latticizing spacetime.)

VII. MULTITUDE OF GAUGE FIELDS

After this digression on duality, the reader may have forgotten where we were. Let me remind you that we had reached an effective Lagrangian (5.3) containing a bunch of complex scalar fields ϕ_I. Each of these fields contains a gapless Nambu-Goldstone phase degree of freedom and a gapful vortex degree of freedom. We now use the dual representation to describe the physics directly in terms of these physical degrees of freedom rather than the complex scalar fields ϕ_I.

We can thus write the Lagrangian (5.3) in the dual representation as

$$\mathcal{L} \;=\; \sum_{I=1}^{m} \Big\{ \frac{2}{4\pi}(\alpha + a_I - A)\,\varepsilon\partial\alpha_I \;+\; \frac{1}{4\pi}\,a_I\,\varepsilon\partial\,a_I \;+\; \alpha_{I\mu}\,j^{\mu}_{I\ \mathrm{vortex}} \Big\} + \frac{1}{4\pi p}\alpha\varepsilon\partial\alpha \;+\; \cdots . \tag{7.1}$$

We have introduced m gauge potentials $\alpha_{I\mu}$, one for each of the Nambu-Goldstone mode contained in the m complex scalar fields ϕ_I. The vortex degrees of freedom are contained in $j_{I\mu}$, the I^{th} vortex current to which α_μ couples. Notice that we have also not explicitly displayed the Maxwell terms $f^2_{I\mu\nu}$ for the gauge potentials $\alpha_{I\mu}$. Compared to the various Chern-Simons terms we displayed, the Maxwell term has one power of mass dimension higher and so is unimportant at long distances. Our effective theory does not describe the short distance physics in any case.

The long distance physics is now described entirely in terms of gauge fields, of which we have introduced a multitude. It may be helpful to recall how they entered. The gauge potential α attached flux to the electrons. The gauge potentials a_I changed the fermi statistics of the degrees of freedom contained in the Landau levels to bosonic statistics. Finally, the gauge potentials α_I embodied the phase degrees of freedom contained in the bose fields representing the Landau levels.

We see that in (7.1) only the gauge potentials α_I couple to the quasi-particles (the vortices) and to the external electromagnetic potential. Thus, to determine the response

of the system to an external electromagnetic probe and the quantum numbers of the quasi-particles we might as well integrate out a_I and α. Note that the Chern-Simons term is "self-reproducing". Schematically, as we have seen already, if we have $\mathcal{L} \sim a\epsilon\partial a + a\epsilon\partial b$, then integrating out a, we would get $\mathcal{L} \sim (\epsilon\partial b)(\epsilon\partial)^{-1}(\epsilon\partial b) \sim b\epsilon\partial b$ by simple cancellation. This can be made precise with the formulas given in section II: integrating out a in

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi} a\epsilon\partial a + \frac{1}{2\pi} a\epsilon\partial b \tag{7.2}$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi} b\epsilon\partial b \tag{7.3}$$

Basically, we are just saying that the Chern-Simons term is the unique gauge and scale invariant term we can write down.

Proceeding thus, we obtain, after integrating out a_I,

$$\mathcal{L} \;=\; \frac{1}{4\pi}\Big[\sum_I \{2(\alpha - A)\varepsilon\partial\alpha_I + \alpha_I\varepsilon\partial\alpha_I\} \;+\; \frac{1}{p}\varepsilon\alpha\partial\alpha\Big] \;+\; \sum_I \alpha_I j_I^{\text{vortex}}\,. \tag{7.4}$$

Next we integrate out the gauge potential α to find

$$\mathcal{L} \;=\; \frac{1}{4\pi}\Big[\sum_I \alpha_I\varepsilon\partial\alpha_I \;+\; p\sum_{IJ}\alpha_I\varepsilon\partial\alpha_J\Big] \;+\; \frac{2}{4\pi}\sum_I\Big(-\alpha_I\varepsilon\partial A \;+\; 2\pi\alpha_I\, j_I^{\text{vortex}}\Big)\,. \tag{7.5}$$

We see that we have obtained precisely the effective Lagrangian (4.17) we obtained earlier with our "slick" approach based entirely on general principles. The only difference is that in this derivation p "automatically" comes out to be an even integer. Recall that in the "slick" approach, we fix p to be an even integer by requiring that the electron be a fermion. In this approach p is fixed to be an even integer from its introduction but the underlying physics is essentially the same, namely quantum statistics.

I would like to end this section by remarking that the effective Lagrangians written down by different authors often look quite different. The reason is simply that different

authors choose to integrate out different combinations of the gauge potentials. For illustration, let us derive the Lagrangian first written down by Zhang *et al.*[18] for filling factor $\nu = 1/(p+1)$ with p even.

Go to (5.3) and specialize to $m = 1$. We have

$$\mathcal{L} = \phi^+ i \left(\partial_0 - i(\alpha + a - A)_0\right) \phi + (\ldots) + \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(\epsilon a \partial a + \frac{1}{p}\epsilon\alpha\partial\alpha\right) \tag{7.6}$$

(To avoid clutter we have represented the term with the spatial covariant derivative acting on ϕ by $(\ldots)$.) It turns out that to obtain the Lagrangian of Zhang *et al.*[18] we should integrate out the combination $(a - \alpha)$. Let us write $a = (\gamma + \beta)/2$ and $\alpha = (\gamma - \beta)/2$. The last two terms in (7.6) became

$$\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4\pi}\left[\frac{(p+1)}{p}\left(\epsilon\gamma\partial\gamma + \epsilon\beta\partial\beta\right) + \frac{2(1-p)}{p}\epsilon\alpha\partial\beta\right] \tag{7.7}$$

Integrating out β we obtain

$$\mathcal{L} = \phi^+ i \left(\partial_0 - i(\gamma - A)_0\right) \phi + (\ldots) + \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{(p+1)}\epsilon\gamma\partial\gamma \tag{7.8}$$

This is the original Lagrangian of Zhang *et al.* The reader would realize that this Lagrangian may be written down immediately: the Chern-Simons term for p even simply changes the statistics of ϕ to fermionic. The point is that the more elaborate Lagrangian in (5.3) allows us to describe more complicated filling fractions.

VIII. TOPOLOGICAL ORDER

As I mentioned in the Introduction the Hall fluid is an example of a topological quantum fluid, but so far I have not really mentioned topology. What is topological about the Hall fluid? As I will now explain, the Hall fluid is an example of strongly correlated electron systems in two spatial dimensions, and one fascinating feature of such systems is that

they may have "global" and "topological" properties not reachable, or at least not easily reachable, with conventional condensed matter physics methods. The long distance physics of the ground state and its low lying excitations is described by a new class of field theories known as topological field theories. Topological field theories were first investigated for their possible relevance to string theory.[33] Thus, they may well be field theories in search of physical realization. It is amusing then that at least some watered-down version may be realized in condensed matter systems.

Let me first remind you of the notion of order. A standard example in condensed matter physics is the ferromagnet. As is familiar, there is a long range order in the ferromagnet: all the spins are lined up in the same direction. The long range order is intimately connected with spontaneous symmetry breaking. In the ferromagnet, rotational invariance is spontaneously broken. Thus, the order in condensed matter physics, in particle physics, and other areas of physics, may be classified by symmetry groups. All this is familiar textbook material.

In stark contrast, there is no identifiable broken symmetry in the quantum Hall fluid and the order is not characterized by some symmetry group being broken. Instead, Wen[34] has emphasized that these systems enjoy a new type of order called "topological order," which he has described picturesquely as a "dancing pattern" that the electrons in the fluid are obliged to follow because of the twin dictates of Fermi statistics and the Lorentz force from the external magnetic field, as I explained in Section I. Topological order is an entirely new concept in condensed matter physics. It is not characterized by a symmetry group. Rather, it is characterized by the K matrix. The K matrix specifies the dance the electrons are to follow. With different K matrices, the dancing electrons trace out a different pattern.

So what is topological? Let us look at the Chern-Simons action, for simplicity written

for a single gauge potential a:

$$S = \int d^3x \frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} a_\mu \partial_\nu a_\lambda. \tag{8.1}$$

There is something rather unusual about this action. When we learned about field theory we first encountered the free scalar field theory described by the action

$$S = \int d^3x \sqrt{g} (g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - m^2 \phi^2) \tag{8.2}$$

We need the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ to contract the Lorentz indices in the kinetic energy term. Furthermore, the determinant of the metric g is needed to produce the correct volume of spacetime. This is of course as it should be: the metric tells us about distances in spacetime. To do physics, we have to have rulers and clocks. We can hardly have a more basic statement about physics than that. Usually, of course, the metric is suppressed since normally we are interested only in field theory in flat spacetime. Nevertheless, in the back of our minds, we must remember that the metric is always there. It is just normally set to be equal to the Lorentz metric and then suppressed.

But in (8.1) the metric does not come in at all. The indices are contracted with the antisymmetric symbol $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}$, not with the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$. The reader should also verify that the action in (8.1) is perfectly covariant as written. In particular, no factor of $\sqrt{g}$ is needed. In other words, the action is topological. We have entered an unfamiliar realm of physics: a realm without rulers and without clocks.

By way of contrast, the Maxwell term cannot be written without the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Thus, with the Maxwell term the action takes the form

$$S = \int d^3x \left[\frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} a_\mu \partial_\nu a_\lambda - \sqrt{g} \frac{g^{\mu\lambda} g^{\nu\sigma}}{2g^2} f_{\mu\nu} f_{\lambda\sigma} + \ldots \right] \tag{8.3}$$

(I trust the reader not to confuse the gauge coupling g with the determinant of the metric, and I have written, for compactness of notation, the Maxwell term in its Lorentz invariant

form.) The pure Chern-Simons theory depends only on the topology of spacetime, not on the metric: it is a topological field theory of the type first studied by Witten.[33]

This is a powerful statement: it suggests those properties of the microscopic theory that depend on the metric cannot affect the Chern-Simons term. Heuristically, we can argue that to describe disorder and impurities we necessarily have to drag in the metric, for example to measure the energy of the potential well associated with an impurity with. As another example, we can suppose that at short distances electrons in the actual sample move on a lattice. An imperfection in the lattice may mean that the ability of an electron to hop from site to site is affected by the imperfection. This may be modeled by saying that distance and time are distorted near the imperfection. Thus, we argue that disorder and impurities cannot affect the Chern-Simons term and hence the long distance physics of the Hall fluid, such as the charge and statistics of the quasiparticles in the fluid, as determined in section IV.

Having heard all this, you may start to wonder. You say, wait a minute! Isn't the stress energy tensor defined as the variation of the action with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$? But since the action does not depend on the metric, the stress energy tensor, from which physical quantities like energy and momentum are derived, is identically zero! In fact, this is as it should be. After all, the concepts of energy and momentum depend on rulers and clocks. In particular, the Hamiltonian is exceedingly simple: it is just zero.

In school, when we took a course on quantum mechanics, we did plenty of homework problems in which we were given a Hamiltonian and told to determine its energy spectrum. Boy, it would have been a nice simple problem had the professor given us a problem in which the Hamiltonian is identically zero! The energy spectrum is easy to determine, then. All states have zero energy. (More precisely, the scale of energy (or mass) is set by the Maxwell coupling g^2, as we saw in section II. In a purely topological theory, the absence of the non-topological Maxwell term means that effectively g^2 has been sent to ∞.)

But to get full credit, it is not enough to just say that all states have zero energy. We have to determine how many such zero energy states there are, as was first emphasized by Witten. The number is known as the ground state degeneracy. Let us study the Chern-Simons theory on a closed two-dimensional surface of genus G. (The genus simply measures the number of holes or handles. Thus, the sphere has genus 0, the torus genus 1, and so on.) This is not as far fetched as it may sound: if we impose periodic boundary conditions on a system defined on a rectangle, we are effectively dealing with a system defined on a torus. We will consider surfaces with boundaries later.

The ground state degeneracy can only depend on the topology of the closed two-dimensional surface. Let us calculate it for a torus, say. We will follow the discussion given by Wen.[34] The basic idea goes back to an observation by Wu and Zee[35] that a topological term in field theory may be regarded as due to the presence of a gauge field in field configuration space. This is a real mouthful but is easy to understand. Suppose we are given a Lagrangian describing the motion of a particle with coordinates q_i. If in the Lagrangian we find a term linear in the time derivative $\dot{q}_i$, then we would know that the particle is moving under the influence of an electromagnetic potential $\mathcal{A}_i$, where $\mathcal{A}_i$ is just the coefficient of $\dot{q}_i$.

We have precisely this situation in a Chern-Simons theory. In the $a_0 = 0$ gauge the Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{k}{4\pi}\epsilon_{ij} a_i \dot{a}_j \tag{8.4}$$

Thus, we see that in the configuration space of the gauge potentials, a magnetic field is present. The analog of Gauss' law, obtained by varying with respect to a_0, tells us that

$$\epsilon_{ij}\partial_i a_j = 0 \tag{8.5}$$

This tells us that $a_i = a_i(t)$ in the gauge $\partial_i a_i = 0$. In the $a_0 = 0$ gauge, we can still make time independent gauge transformations $a_i \to a_i - iu^{-1}\partial_i u$ with $u(x)$ independent of time.

On a torus, the allowed gauge transformation must have the form

$$u = e^{i2\pi(\frac{n_1 x^1}{L_1}+\frac{n_2 x^2}{L_2})} \tag{8.6}$$

where n_1 and n_2 are integers, since u cannot depend on whether we coordinatize the same point as $(0, x^2)$ or (L_1, x^2), for example. The gauge potential $a_i' = a_i - iu^{-1}\partial_i u = a_i + 2\pi n_i/L_i$ is equivalent to a_i. Purely for the sake of convenience, let $a_i(t) = (2\pi/L_i)q_i(t)$. We have just learned that q_i and $q_i + 1$ are equivalent. In other words, the dynamics may now be described in terms of a point particle living on a torus of length 1 and width 1.

Plugging in, we find the action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S =& \int d^3x \frac{k}{4\pi}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}a_\mu\partial_\nu a_\lambda \\ =& k\frac{L_1 L_2}{4\pi}\int dt \frac{(2\pi)^2}{L_1 L_2}\epsilon_{ij}q_i\dot{q}_j \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

It is convenient to regulate the theory by adding a kinetic energy term:

$$S = \int dt(k\pi\epsilon_{ij}q_i\dot{q}_j + \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}_i^2) \tag{8.8}$$

We can let the mass m go to zero at the end. Indeed, if we had included the Maxwell term in the field theory, it would generate this kinetic energy term with $m \sim 1/g^2$ ($f_{\mu\nu}^2 \sim \dot{a}_i^2 \sim \dot{q}_i^2$). As $g^2 \to \infty$, the Maxwell term disappears and $m \to 0$.

We have a point particle moving on a torus and coupled to a gauge potential $A_i = k\pi\epsilon_{ij}qj$, with the corresponding magnetic field $B = \epsilon_{ij}\partial_i\epsilon_{lj}q_l(k\pi) = 2\pi k$. The total flux going through the surface of the torus is $\Phi = BA = 2\pi k$ since the area is normalized to 1. This quantum mechanical problem can be solved explicitly[36] and the result is that the ground state is k-fold degenerate. The degeneracy does not depend on m.

The degeneracy can also be seen heuristically by arguing that the torus is locally flat, but then we know that in the planar problem the the degeneracy of each Landau level is precisely $BA/2\pi = k$.

It can be shown that on a surface of genus G the ground state degeneracy is equal to k^G. Heuristically, this may be argued by topologically deforming the surface into G "quasi-torus" connected by long tubes. In effect, the dynamics of the gauge potential $a_i(t)$ on such a surface is the dynamics of G independent particles, one on each "quasi-torus". The degeneracy is thus k^G.

To an "old-fashioned" field theorist, the parameters in a field theory, such as the electric charge or the mass of the electron in quantum electrodynamics, could be varied continuously, without affecting the qualitative behavior of the theory, at least within a certain range. Here we really have a new type of field theory. In particular, the degeneracy formula $D = k^G$ indicates that the Chern-Simons theory with k not equal to an integer cannot be defined on a compact surface.

In section IV we gave a physical reason why the coefficient of Chern- Simons term must be equal to an integer. It is satisfying that here we have found a mathematical reason behind the same fact.

For further details about topological order, see the lectures by Wen in this volume.

IX. ON THE EDGE

Experimentally, the Hall fluid is contained of course in a finite size region, of the topology of a disk. Halperin[37] pointed out some years ago that currents would flow on the edge of the disk. Physically, the origin of these edge currents can be understood as follows.

To describe a Hall fluid confined to a disk-shaped region, we add to the Hamiltonian a potential energy term which is essentially equal to zero within the disk and which rises rapidly and linearly as it crosses the boundary of the disk. For the purpose of this discussion, we suppose that the length scale over which the potential varies to be much larger

than the magnetic length, namely the length defined in (1.4). (For real materials, this may not be the case.) Within the disk- shaped region to which the bulk of the Hall fluid is confined, the Landau levels are as before, but near the boundary, the Landau levels are pushed up by the potential energy. In general, a given Landau level would cross the Fermi surface linearly. Thus, a physicist "living on the edge" would see a linearly dispersing, that is, a massless excitation. Also, since there is only one branch in the dispersion, the excitation is chiral and travels in only one direction. Thus, there must currents flowing along the edge of the experimental sample. See the lectures by Wen for more details.

Within the context of our lectures, we are interested in asking whether the Chern-Simons term is smart enough to know about these edge currents? Indeed, it is. As we have remarked in section II, the Chern-Simons term is not, strictly speaking, gauge invariant. Under a gauge transformation it changes by a boundary term see (>> 2.3 <<):

$$\delta \int d^2x dt a \epsilon \partial a = \int du dt \Lambda(\partial_0 a_u - \partial_u a_0). \tag{9.1}$$

Here u denotes the length coordinate along the edge. This apparent "defect" of the theory actually represents one of its virtues. To have a gauge invariant theory we must impose the condition that Λ must vanish on the edge. Normally, in the (1+1) dimensional world of the edge, if we given a gauge potential a_u of the form $\partial_u \varphi$ we would dismiss it as an unphysical gauge degree of freedom. But here because of the preceding condition on Λ we no longer have the gauge transformation available to gauge this degree of freedom away. Thus, the degree of freedom embodied in φ survives. It is this edge degree of freedom which leads to the edge currents.[34,25]

Indeed, the dynamics on the edge world may be described by the (1+1)- dimensional action

$$\int dt du \frac{1}{2} \left[(\partial_0 \varphi)^2 - v^2 (\partial_u \varphi)^2 \right] \tag{9.2}$$

supplemented by the constraint

$$\partial_0 \varphi = v \partial_u \varphi \tag{9.3}$$

Here v determines the velocity of propagation of the edge degree of freedom.

The reader who works out the derivation of (9.3) will notice that v appears as a parameter not determined by the long distance topological Chern-Simons theory. Does this mean that the theory is stupid? In fact, the theory doesn't, and shouldn't, know about v since it is a property of the confining potential on the edge rather than the fluid in the bulk. Go back to the physical derivation given at the beginning of this section. The slope of the linear dispersion of the massless edge excitation is evidently controlled by the confining potential.

The constraint (9.3) means that the edge excitations propagate in only one direction. We have thus reproduced using more formal methods what we had just argued on physical grounds.

The action (9.2), describing a massless chiral scalar field, is the simplest example of a conformal field theory. The theory has a conserved current $J^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\varphi$ (in spacetime units such that v is equal to one). Here $\mu, \nu = 0, 1$ denote the (1+1)-dimensional spacetime indices. The commutation relation between the currents is thus determined by the commutation relation satisfied by φ and its derivatives. We find that the Fourier transforms J_n of J_0 (assuming that the edge is bounded, such as the edge of a disk) generate a Kac-Moody algebra defined by

$$[J_m, J_n] = m\delta_{m,-n}. \tag{9.4}$$

In the more general case in which we have the matrix Chern-Simons theory (4.11) the Kac-Moody algebra in (9.4) is obviously generalized to

$$[J^I_m, J^J_n] = m\delta_{m,-n}K^{IJ}. \tag{9.5}$$

We cannot simply diagonalize the matrix K because the gauge potentials α_I couple to the vortex current j^{vortex}_I and the vorticity defined by $\int d^2x\, j^{\text{vortex}}_I$ is quantized by topological

considerations to be integers. Thus, we are allowed to transform K only to $X^T K X$ where X is an element of $SL(m, Z)$, that is, a matrix whose entries are all integers.

Remarkably, with

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & & & \vdots \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{9.6}$$

we find

$$X^T K X = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & & \vdots \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & p+1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{9.7}$$

Lo and behold (once again), if we knock off the last row and the last column we obtain the $(m-1)$ by $(m-1)$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \vdots \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{9.8}$$

which we recognize as the Cartan matrix for the Lie algebra $SU(n)$! Recall that the Cartan matrix is defined by the scalar products of the basic roots of $SU(m)$

$$\tilde{K}_{IJ} = \alpha^I \cdot \alpha^J / 2 \tag{9.9}$$

for $I, J = 1, \dots, m-1$. This remarkable fact has also been noticed independently by Blok and Wen,[21] and by Read.[38]

Somehow the apparently simple problem of electrons moving in a magnetic field contains within it a non-abelian symmetry.

The transformation (9.6) corresponds to going to a new basis defined by $\tilde{\alpha}_1 = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$, $\tilde{\alpha}_2 = \alpha_2 - \alpha_3$, $\tilde{\alpha}_{m-1} = \alpha_{m-1} - \alpha_m$, and $\tilde{\alpha}_m = \alpha_m$. Physically, the gauge potentials $\tilde{\alpha}_I$,

$I = 1, \ldots, m-1$, are electromagnetically neutral in contrast to $\tilde{\alpha}_m$. The $SU(m)$ symmetry transforms these $m-1$ gauge potentials into each other.

X. MORE GENERAL K MATRICES

We can go on and construct more general K matrices. We follow the simple physical idea described in section IV. Given a bunch of Hall fluids, we can put them together and let them interact with Chern-Simons dynamics.

Let us generalize (4.9) and take each of the incompressible fluids to be described by

$$4\pi\mathcal{L}_I = k_I \alpha_I \epsilon\partial\alpha_I. \tag{10.1}$$

Then, when we put them together we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi\mathcal{L} &= \sum_I k_I \alpha_I \epsilon\partial\alpha_I + p(\sum_I \alpha_I)\epsilon\partial(\sum_J \alpha_J) \\ &= \alpha K \epsilon\partial\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{10.2}$$

with the matrix

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} p+k_1 & p & \ldots & p \\ p & p+k_2 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ p & \ldots & \ldots & p+k_m \end{pmatrix} \tag{10.3}$$

Applying the formulas (4.21), (4.23), and (4.25), we determine the filling factor and conductance to be

$$\nu = \sigma_H = \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{\sum_I \frac{1}{k_I}}}, \tag{10.4}$$

the charge to be

$$q = \frac{1}{1+tp} \sum_I l_I/k_I, \tag{10.5}$$

and the statistics to be

$$\frac{\theta}{\pi} = \sum_I l_I^2/k_I - p(1+tp)q^2. \tag{10.6}$$

Here we have defined $t = \sum_I k_I^{-1}$. The filling factors reachable with (10.4) include 2/3, 2/7, 8/15, 8/31, and so on.

We can keep on going and take for each of the component incompressible fluids we want to put together to be the incompressible fluid we have just obtained. We then arrive at

$$4\pi\mathcal{L} = \sum_S \alpha^S K_S \epsilon\partial\alpha^S + +2a\epsilon\partial\sum_S \alpha^S - \frac{1}{p}a\epsilon\partial a \tag{10.7}$$

where K_S denotes an m_S by m_S matrix (as in (10.3))

$$K_S = \begin{pmatrix} p_S + k_1^S & p_S & \cdots & p_S \\ p_S & p_S + k_2^S & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_S & \cdots & \cdots & p_S + k_m^S \end{pmatrix} \tag{10.8}$$

A short computation using (4.21) shows that the filling factor is

$$\nu = \sigma_H = \cfrac{1}{p + \cfrac{1}{\sum_S p_S + \cfrac{1}{\sum_{I_S} \cfrac{1}{k_{I_S}^S}}}} \tag{10.9}$$

It is intriguing that a continued fraction emerges naturally. Clearly, we can keep on trucking and construct ever more elaborate incompressible quantum fluids in this way.

It may be verified that by this construction we obtain states outside the hierarchy construction we will discuss in the next section. Thus, this construction is more general.

The most general K matrix may be constructed by an iteration procedure, which can be decomposed into two simple steps.[39] In step A, two given matrices K_1 and K_2 are combined into $K = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & 0 \\ 0 & K_2 \end{pmatrix}$. In step B, we let $K \to K + C$, where C is defined to be a matrix in which every entry is equal to 1. By combining these two steps we can reach the most general K matrix. In particular, the hierarchy construction is reached in a specific sequence of step A's and B's. See Ref. 39 for further details.

The K matrix formalism provides a complete classification of abelian quantum Hall fluids.[39] We mention in passing a classification using the so-called W algebra, the algebra

associated with area preserving diffeomorphisms.[40] Since the Hall fluid is incompressible, its area is by definition an invariant. Thus, perhaps to nobody's surprise, the possible types of Hall fluids can be classified using the W algebra. It has been shown that this more mathematical classification is in one-to-one correspondence with the classification using the K matrix.[40]

Here I would like to describe another "natural" generalization.[20,28,39] We can consider the possibility that each of the incompressible fluids carries a charge t_I, not necessarily equal to 1. Thus, in the effective Lagrangian we will have the couplings of the electromagnetic gauge potential A_μ to the gauge potentials α_I described by

$$4\pi\mathcal{L} = 2A\sum_I t_I \epsilon\partial\alpha_I + \ldots \tag{10.10}$$

One motivation is simply "why not?" Another motivation is that the short distance physics we have consistently ignored, and which we are unable to treat, may produce bound states. For instance, two electrons may bind into a charge 2 boson. The filling factor $\nu = \frac{2\pi n}{eB}$ then scales to $\nu = \nu_{\rm old}(1/2)(1/2) = \nu_{\rm old}/4$. Since the density is half of what it was and the charge (which we have indicated explicitly) is doubled. Thus, for instance, the $\nu = 1/2$ state is transformed into a $\nu = 1/8$ state for which a Laughlin-type wave function may be written down readily

$$\psi \sim \prod_{i>j}(z_i - z_j)^8 e^{-\sum |z|^2} \tag{10.11}$$

This was discussed by Halperin long ago and by Wen and Zee[20] in the language of effective Lagrangians more recently. The effective Lagrangian is then

$$4\pi\mathcal{L} = 8\alpha\epsilon\partial\alpha + 2A\ (2\epsilon\partial\alpha) \tag{10.12}$$

Note that we cannot simply scale the gauge potential α because of its coupling to the vortices.

With (10.10) we find, as generalizations of (4.21), (4.23), and (4.25), the filling factor and Hall conductance to be

$$\nu = \sigma_H = \sum t_I K^{-1}_{IJ} t_J, \tag{10.13}$$

the charge to be

$$q = \sum t_I K^{-1}_{IJ} l_J, \tag{10.14}$$

and the statistics to be

$$\frac{\theta}{\pi} = \sum l_I K^{-1}_{IJ} l_J. \tag{10.15}$$

I find these formulas more symmetric and attractive than those in (4.21), (4.23), and (4.25) where we see by hindsight that we had set the t_I's to 1. Here the t_I's and the l_I's appear on equal footing. The conductance has to do only with the t_I's, the statistics only with the l_I's, the charge with both.

In discussing the hierarchy states, it is often more convenient to go to a basis in which $t_1 = 1$ and all the other t_I's ($I > 1$) equal to zero. This simply corresponds to rotating to another basis. In this so-called hierarchy basis the K matrix has the form[39,21]

$$K^h = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & p_2 & 1 & & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & p\kappa \end{pmatrix} \tag{10.16}$$

Let us now go back to (10.14) and (10.15) take l_I to be the columns in K, in other words, take

$$l^{(L)}_J = K_{JL} \tag{10.17}$$

Then, we find from (10.12) and (10.15)

$$q^{(L)} = \sum_I t_I \delta_{IL} = t_L \tag{10.18}$$

and

$$\frac{\theta^{(LL')}}{\pi} = \sum_{IJ} K_{IL'} K^{-1}_{IJ} K_{JL} = K_{LL'} \tag{10.19}$$

These formulas give the physical meaning of t and K. We also now see clearly and immediately that there is a hole in the excitation spectrum if some t_L is equal to 1 and if K_{LL} (no sum on L) is an odd integer. We also see that the statistical phase acquired by an "electron" of the Lth type moving around a vortex of the L'th type is given simply by

$$\frac{\theta^{(LL')}}{\pi} = \delta_{LL'} \tag{10.20}$$

We merely computed θ using (10.15) and taking $l_J^{(L)} = K_{JL}$ and $l_J^{(L')} = \delta_{JL}$. We put quotation marks around the word electron because this excitation *would* be an electron (or a hole) only if $t_L = 1$ and K_{LL} is odd.

Now that we have learned that an "electron" of the Lth type consists of a bound state of K_{JL} vortices of the Jth type, we can go to a new basis. Write

$$j^J_{\text{vortex}} = K_{JL}\ j^L_{\text{“electron”}} \tag{10.21}$$

Then the coupling of the gauge potentials to the vortices may be written as

$$\alpha_J\ j^J_{\text{vortex}} = \alpha_J\ K_{JL}\ j^L_{\text{“electron”}} \tag{10.22}$$

In other words, we should use the gauge potentials

$$\beta_{\mu L} = \sum_J K_{JL}\alpha_{\mu J} \tag{10.23}$$

In this basis, the Chern-Simons Lagrangian governing the gauge interaction

$$4\pi\mathcal{L} = \alpha K \epsilon \partial \alpha \tag{10.24}$$

becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi\mathcal{L} &= (\beta K^{-1}) K \epsilon \partial (K^{-1}\beta) \\ &= \beta K^{-1} \epsilon \partial \beta \end{aligned} \tag{10.25}$$

The matrix K has exchanged places with its own inverse K^{-1}! We have discovered the duality between the vortex basis and the "electron" basis.

XI. HIERARCHY

Our construction is general but abstract. The hierarchy states, as originally constructed by Haldane, Halperin, and Laughlin,[41,42,43] is based on the rather physical idea that the quasiparticles, being charged, could themselves condense and produce an incompressible quantum state. Let us now try to implement this construction using the formalism described here. Start with the Lagrangian (4.17) describing the $\nu = 1/k$ state, with k odd

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi}(k\alpha\varepsilon\partial\alpha + 2A\varepsilon\partial\alpha) + |(\partial - i\alpha)\phi|^2 \tag{11.1}$$

The last item describes the coupling αj of the gauge potential α to the vortex field ϕ. (For simplicity of notation, we write it in a schematic relativistic form. The following discussion is not changed one bit if we were to write out the full non-relativistic form.) Note two points. (1) ϕ is a bose field; the statistics of the vortex is induced by its coupling to α. (2) ϕ does not couple to A directly; the charge of the vortex comes from its coupling to α.

In general, the field ϕ may be bound to an even units of quantum flux and still behaves as a bose field. This possibility may be implemented (see (2.5)) by replacing the last term in (11.1) by

$$|(\partial - i\alpha - i\beta)\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi p}\beta\varepsilon\partial\beta \tag{11.2}$$

with p an even integer.

Now we are ready to let ϕ condense. After it condenses, we dualize the phase degree of freedom according to the discussion in section VI. The field ϕ is replaced by a gauge potential α' and the expression in (11.2) becomes

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}\Big[2(\alpha+\beta)\varepsilon\partial\alpha' + \frac{1}{p}\beta\varepsilon\partial\beta\Big] \tag{11.3}$$

After integrating over β, this becomes

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}\Big[2\alpha\varepsilon\partial\alpha' + p\alpha'\varepsilon\partial\alpha'\Big] \tag{11.4}$$

Adding this to the first two terms in (11.1) and combining α and α' into a two-component gauge potential a we finally obtain a Lagrangian of precisely the same form as in (4.17) as we must:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi}(aK\varepsilon\partial a + At\epsilon\partial a) \tag{11.5}$$

with

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} k & 1 \\ 1 & p \end{pmatrix} \tag{11.6}$$

and

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{11.7}$$

This leads to $K^{-1} = \frac{1}{pk-1}\begin{pmatrix} p & -1 \\ -1 & k \end{pmatrix}$ and $\nu = tK^{-1}t = \frac{p}{pk-1} = \frac{1}{k-\frac{1}{p}}$ with p even. This is precisely the hierarchy state. For instance, with $k = 3$ and $p = 2$ we obtain the $\nu = 2/5$ state.

One interesting point is that we must attach flux to the vortex field ϕ before allowing it to condense. It not, $p = 0$, and we do not get a Hall state. This is in fact a generic phenomenon worth commenting on in more detail. Consider the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = |(\partial - i\alpha)\phi|^2 \tag{11.8}$$

(that is, we focus on the last term in (11.1)). If ϕ condenses, the standard Higgs mechanism operates and we obtain a massive gauge boson α: $\mathcal{L} \to v^2\alpha^2$ as is familiar. Instead, suppose we attach an even units of flux to ϕ so that we have (11.2). Letting ϕ condense and the Higgs mechanism operate we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= v^2(\alpha+\beta)^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi p}\beta\varepsilon\partial\beta \\ &= v^2\alpha^2 + 2v^2\alpha\beta + \beta(v^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi p}\varepsilon\partial)\beta \end{aligned} \tag{11.9}$$

Integrating out β and using (2.10) as always, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= v^2\alpha^2 - v^4\alpha(\frac{1}{v^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi p}\varepsilon\partial})\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi p}\alpha\varepsilon\partial\alpha + \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{11.10}$$

where the dots indicate a long distance expansion. The would-be Higgs mass cancels out! (This is of course the same as (11.4) after we integrate out α').

Thus, in one case, we obtain the familiar Higgs mechanism and a massive gauge boson. In the other, we obtain a gauge potential α obeying Chern-Simons dynamics. What is going on? Naively, when we think of attaching even units of flux to point particles, we think of infinitely thin lines of flux, and we suppose naively that we have not done anything substantive. As each point particle moves around another, it picks up a phase $e^{i\pi p} = 1$, that is, no phase at all. But in fact the subtle phase relation contained in the wave function has been perturbed. This shows up clearly when the field condenses: in effect, we smeared the thin lines of flux into a uniform background of flux. That the Lagrangian in (11.2) is really different from the Lagrangian in (11.8) is also clear by their symmetry property. In (11.8), we have a $U(1)$ invariance, while in (11.2), we have a $U(1) \times U(1)$ invariance. Incidentally, these symmetry considerations essentially determine the form of the Lagrangian after condensation. Thus, in (11.10) we should have a $U(1)$ invariance left and thus the would-be Higgs mass term $v^2\alpha^2$ better cancels out.

This whole story is reminiscent of a discussion of the $O(3)$ nonlinear σ-model in $2+1$ dimensional spacetime given by Wen and Zee.[44] They argued that a Hopf term with an integer coefficient can always be added to the Lagrangian: the effect in the path integral is to insert a factor formally equal to 1 since suitable normalization is quantized in units of 2π. However, after a mean field approximation, one finds that the physics in fact depends on the coefficient of the Hopf term and that parity P and time reversal T are broken just as in (11.10).

XII. MAGNETIC MONOPOLES AND MULTI-LAYERED HALL SYSTEMS

Recently, experimenters are able to construct and study multi-layered quantum Hall systems,[45,46,47,48] a remarkable feat that has generated considerable interest in the theoretical community.[49,50,51,52,53,54] As we will see presently, the K matrix formalism we constructed here is ready made to study such systems. We will focus here on the double-layered system, which for our purposes we can think of simply as two parallel planes in which electrons move in the presence of a magnetic field perpendicular to the planes. As before, the electrons are supposed to be spin polarized so that they are effectively spinless fermions. We will give a brief treatment here, once again referring the reader to the original literature for details.[55,56,57]

Let us begin by identifying two relevant energy scales. We can model the two layers by a double well potential. By elementary quantum mechanics, the energy gap Δ_{SAS} between the symmetric and the anti-symmetric wave functions in the double wells measures the electron tunnelling amplitude between the two layers. The other energy scale is determined by the interaction energy V between electrons. For Δ_{SAS} large compared to V, electrons are essentially tunnelling freely between the two layers, and the double-layered system reduces to a single-layered system Thus, we will study the opposite limit, with $V \gg \Delta_{SAS}$, namely the weak tunnelling limit.

We proceed with general symmetry considerations. In the absence of interlayer tunnelling, N_1 and N_2, the number of electrons in each of the two layers, are separately conserved. Thus, the system has a $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry, associated with the conservation of $N_1 + N_2$ and $N_1 - N_2$. The $U(1)_{N_1 - N_2}$ symmetry may be spontaneously broken due to quantum correlations between the two layers, in which case we have a gapless Nambu-Goldstone mode. The symmetry may also be explicitly broken due to electron tunnelling between the two layers, in which case we expect the gapless mode to acquire a gap. The analysis below confirms these expectations from general symmetry considerations.

Our formalism involves a multitude of gauge potentials a_I. Recall that they come in

because their curls give conserved currents $J_I = \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon\partial a_I$. In the absence of tunnelling between the two layers, the current associated with each layer, call it J_I for $I = 1, 2$, is separately conserved. The formalism is really ready made for studying double-layered Hall systems! Thus, the simplest possible double-layered Hall system is described by the effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi}(\sum_{IJ} K_{IJ} a\epsilon\partial a + \sum_I 2A\epsilon\partial a) + a_1 j_1 + a_2 j_2 + \text{Maxwell terms} \tag{12.1}$$

with a 2-by-2 matrix $K = \begin{pmatrix} l & n \\ n & m \end{pmatrix}$ The filling factor $\nu = (l+m-2n)/(lm-n^2)$ is given by (4.21). We note in passing that this K matrix is in one-to-one correspondences with a class of wave functions proposed long ago by Halperin[58] to describe double-layered Hall systems.

For a general K matrix, we have an incompressible Hall fluid as before. We can use the formulas derived in section IV to calculate the charge and statistics of the quasiparticles. There doesn't seem to be much new here.

Let us now raise the interesting question of what would happen if K has a zero eigenvalue. As explained in section II, the coefficient of the Chern-Simons term is proportional to the mass of the gauge field. Thus, in this case, some linear combination of the gauge fields becomes massless, with dynamics governed by Maxwell terms in (12.1). In particular, for $K = k\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (with $\nu = 1/k$ as we can see by taking a suitable limit), the combination $a_+ = a_1 + a_2$ has finite gap and couples to the electromagnetic potential A_μ thus describing a Hall fluid, while the combination $a_- = a_1 - a_2$ is gapless with a linear dispersion, describing a superfluid associated with fluctuations in $n_1 - n_2$, the relative number density between the two layers. Note that a_- decouples from the electromagnetic potential and thus represents a neutral mode.

Our effective Lagrangian (12.1) becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi}k(a_1+a_2)\epsilon\partial(a_1+a_2) + \frac{1}{2\pi}A\epsilon\partial(a_1+a_2) + \frac{1}{2}(a_1+a_2)(j_1+j_2)+ \\ + \frac{1}{2}(a_1-a_2)(j_1-j_2) + \text{Maxwell terms} \tag{12.2}$$

Note that the third and fourth terms are just a trivial rewrite of the terms $a_1 j_1 + a_2 j_2$ in (12.1) above. The first three terms in (12.2) represent nothing but our generic effective Lagrangian for the Hall fluid specialized to a one-by-one K matrix given by k. Thus, we see immediately that the quasiparticles carry charges $\pm\frac{1}{2k}$. In particular, for $k = 1$ they carry half charges $\pm\frac{1}{2}$. The factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ comes from elementary arithmetic. This result was rederived more recently using a more elaborate formalism.[59]

Physically, we can easily understand the appearance of the gapless mode $a_- = a_1 - a_2$ as due to the coherent fluctuation of flux and density.[60,61] Notice that the electrons in the second layer behave like flux tubes of -2π flux to the electrons in the first layer. Recall that 2π is the flux quantum in our units. (Let us treat $k = 1$ for simplicity.) Therefore the electrons in the first layer see an effective magnetic field $B^* = B - 2\pi n_2 = (1-\nu_2)B$, where ν_1 and ν_2 (with $\nu_1+\nu_2 = 1$) are the electron filling fractions in the two layers. The electrons in the first layer think that they are in a state with filling factor $\nu_1^* = \frac{2\pi n_1}{B^*} = \frac{\nu_1}{1-\nu_2} = 1$ and are happy. Similarly $\nu_2^* = 1$ and the electrons in the second layer are also happy. Now consider a density wave such that the oscillations in the two layers are of equal amplitude but out of phase. In other words, we consider a wave such that whereever the density in the first layer has a crest, the density in the second layer has a trough, and vice versa. Now note that as n_1 goes up, B^* also goes up since n_2 goes down. The effective filling factor seen by the electrons in the first layer remains at $\nu_1^* = 1$. Similarly for the effective filling factor seen by the electrons in the second layer. Thus, the electrons remain happy. In other words, as the wavelength of this wave goes to infinity, the energy goes to zero. The mode is gapless. The coherent fluctuation of flux and density is responsible for the gapless mode. In contrast, in the usual quantum Hall fluid, the magnetic field is fixed by

the experimentalist, and thus a density fluctuation will change the filling factor and hence has a finite energy gap. Here the effective magnetic field and density can fluctuate together without changing the effective filling factor. We note in passing that a similar mechanism is responsible for anyon superconductivity.[60]

Thus far, we have not included tunnelling. How is tunnelling represented in this picture? When an electron tunnels from one layer to another, the currents $J_1^\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon\partial a_1$ and $J_2^\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon\partial a_2$ are no longer separately conserved. Since electrons are represented by flux quanta, tunnelling from the first layer to the second converts flux of type $\epsilon\partial a_1$ to flux of type $\epsilon\partial a_2$. Thus tunnelling corresponds to a kind of magnetic monopole into which flux of type 1 disappears and out of which flux of type 2 appears. Indeed, more formally, we have

$$\Delta(N_1 - N_2) = \pm 2 = \int dt d^2x \partial_\mu (J_1^\mu - J_2^\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int dt d^2x \partial_\mu (\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu a_{-,\lambda}) \qquad (12.3)$$

Suppose we continue from Minkowskian (2+1) dimensional spacetime to Enclidean 3 space. We recognize $\partial_\mu(\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu a_{-,\lambda})$ in (12.3) as $\vec{\nabla}\cdot(\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{A}) = \vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{B}$ if we identify $a_{-,\lambda}$ as a 3-vector gauge potential $\vec{A}$ in Euclidean space and $\vec{B}$ the corresponding magnetic field. This is precisely a Dirac magnetic monopole, with its flux quantized according to (12.3) to be $\pm 4\pi$, just as Dirac said it should be. Again, we mention in passing that in discussions of anyon superconductivity magnetic monopoles convert anyon superfluid into normal fluid.[60]

Thus, in Euclidean 3 space we have a plasma of magnetic monopoles and anti-monopoles. At the location of each monopole and each anti-monopole there occurs a tunnelling event in spacetime. Polyakov[62] showed long ago that in the presence of a monopole plasma the photon acquires a mass. In the present context, this means the gauge potential a_- acquires a mass gap, in agreement with our expectations from general symmetry considerations.

Armed with our effective Lagrangian, we can now go on to study various phenomenological implications within the framework of linear response theory. Again we refer the

reader to the original literature.[55,56,57]

Let us sketch how Polyakov's analysis goes. The grand partition function of the monopole plasma is given by

$$Z \sim \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta^N}{N!} \prod_a \Big(\int d^3x_a \sum_{q_a=\pm 1} \Big) e^{-\sum_{bc} \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{q_b q_c}{|x_b - x_c|}} \tag{12.4}$$

Reading from right to left, we see the Boltzmann factor, given by the exponential of the Coulomb interaction, expressed in terms of the magnetic charge $q_a = \pm 1$ and position x_a of the a-th monopole, $a = 1, 2, ...N$. We next sum over the charges and positions. The entire expression is then weighted by a fugacity factor. The fugacity ζ tells us the probability of having a monopole in a unit volume of spacetime and is thus proportional to the probability of a tunnelling event per unit space and per unit time. Introducing the density of monopoles

$$\rho(x) = \sum_a q_a \delta^{(3)}(x - x_a) \tag{12.5}$$

we can rewrite the Boltzmann factor in (12.4) as a functional integral

$$\int \mathcal{D}\theta e^{i \int d^3x [\frac{1}{2} g^2 (\partial\theta)^2 + \theta\rho]} \tag{12.6}$$

We easily verify this representation by integrating over θ and using (2.10) and (12.5). Summing over the charge and position of the monopole we obtain

$$\int d^3x_a \sum_{q_a=\pm 1} e^{i q_a \theta(x_a)} = 2 \int d^3x \cos\theta(x) \tag{12.7}$$

Finally, we sum over the number of monopoles

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta^N}{N!} [\int d^3x \cos\theta(x)]^N = e^{\int d^3x \zeta \cos\theta} \tag{12.8}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$Z \sim \int \mathcal{D}\theta e^{i \int d^3x [g^2 (\partial\theta)^2 + \zeta \cos\theta]} \tag{12.9}$$

The effective Lagrangian is sine-Gordon

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = g^2(\partial\theta)^2 + \zeta\cos\theta \tag{12.10}$$

Expanding the cosine term in (12.10) we see that indeed the θ field has mass $\sim \sqrt{\zeta}/g$.

We make the important remark that the field θ, that is, the "order parameter", is an angular variable. Looking back to (12.7) we see that the angular character of θ comes about because the magnetic charge of the monopole is quantized.

It is immensely pleasing that some of the most profound concepts in theoretical physics are involved here. The discretness of the electron leads to Dirac quantization of the magnetic monopoles ((12.3)). The quantization of monopoles leads to an angular variable as the order parameter.

The appearance of an angular order parameter immediately reminds us of the Josephson effect in superconductors. Wen and I[55] were thus led to predict that there should be "Josephson-like" effects in double-layered quantum Hall systems. We were careful to use the term "Josephson-like" because the double-layered Hall system is to be sure not a superconductor, and thus the usual discussion of the Josephson effect must be taken over here with great care. A detailed discussion is beyond the scope of these lectures. We refer to the original work[55,56,57] and to the recent literature.[59,63,64]

Let us remark in passing that an interesting probe is provided by applying a magnetic field parallet to the plane of the double-layered Hall system. When an electron tunnels from one layer to another, its wave function now acquires a phase factor

$$e^{\pm ie\int dz A_z} \equiv e^{\pm i\xi(x)} \tag{12.11}$$

(We denote the coordinate perpendicular to the plane by z and the two-dimensional coordinates in the plane by x.) Think about the current coil generating the in plane magnetic

field and you see easily that parity, that is, reflection in a mirror perpendicular to the z axis, is broken, thus accounting for the $\pm$ sign in (12.11) according to whether the electron tunnels from the first layer to the second or vice versa. Thus, in (12.4), a monopole is now associated with the phase factor $e^{+i\xi(x_a)}$, and an anti-monopole with the phase factor $e^{-i\xi(x_a)}$. Tracking through the analysis given above, we see that in (12.7) we would pick up this additional phase factor with the consequence that in (12.10) the $cos\theta$ term is now replaced by $cos(\theta+\xi)$. Wen and Zee[56] considered a random magnetic field and showed that its effect was to reduce the tunnelling parameter ζ. Yang et al[59] showed that a uniform magnetic field would drive an interesting commensurate-incommensurate transition.

XIII. SHIFT AND SPIN

One topic that we will mention here only in passing is that of the topological quantity called the shift and its relation to orbital spin. The discussion will be so brief as to be merely a sketch. The reader is referred to the original literature for details.[65] Let me motivate the discussion first physically and then mathematically.

Physically, we know that electrons in the second Landau level have more energies than electrons in the first Landau level. In the semi-classical treatment in Section I, we would endow the electrons in the second level with one more quantum of angular momentum than those in the first level. We may picture electrons in different Landau levels as moving around in Larmor orbits of different sizes. In our effective Lagrangian treatment, however, we simply represented the degree in the I-th Landau level by a scalar field ϕ_I (recall (5.3)) which later metamorphosed into a gauge potential (7.1). We would like our theory to be smart enough to recognize the different angular momentum properties associated with different gauge potentials α_I.

A clue on how to incorporate the angular momentum properties comes from studying non-interacting (spinless) electrons on a sphere. Put a magnetic monopole of strength G (which according to Dirac can be only a half- integer or an integer) at the center of the sphere. The flux through the sphere is equal to $N_\phi = 2G$. I leave it to you to work out the elementary exercise[66] of showing that the single electron energy is given by $E = (\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_c)\left[l(l+1) - G^2\right]/G$ with the Landau levels corresponding to $l = G, G+1, G+2, \ldots$. The degeneracy of the lth level is $(2l+1)$. Thus, if L Landau levels are filled with non-interacting electrons we have $N_e = \sum_{k=0}^{L-1}[2(G+k)+1] = LN_\phi + L^2$. Thus, we see that with the filling factor $\nu = L$ the relation between N_e and N_ϕ is not given simply by $N_\phi = \nu^{-1}N_e$ but by the slightly more complicated relation

$$N_\phi = \nu^{-1}N_e - \mathcal{S} \tag{13.1}$$

where we have defined the topological quantity $\mathcal{S}$ called the shift. For a sphere, $\mathcal{S} = \nu$.

Mathematically, we see that in our effective Lagrangian (10.10) we couple the electromagnetic gauge potential A_μ to the conserved current $\sum_I t_I \epsilon\partial\alpha_I$. On a curved surface, there exists another "gauge potential" (or, for those of you familiar with the mathematical terminology of differential forms, a gauge 1-form), namely the connection ω_μ whose curl gives the curvature of the surface. Thus, in our effective Lagrangian we can add the term

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\omega J_s \tag{13.2}$$

where we define the conserved current $J_s \equiv \sum_I s_I \epsilon\partial\alpha_I$. In any case, we are always free to add such a term.

To be sure, real samples are flat and ω vanishes. Nevertheless, ω gives us a valuable probe into the Hall fluid. We know from basic physics that a spinning particle moving along a loop in curved space acquires in its wave function a phase factor

$$e^{is\oint\omega} \tag{13.3}$$

just as a charged particle moving in a magnetic field acquires in its wave function an Aharonov-Bohm phase factor

$$e^{it \oint A} \tag{13.4}$$

Here s and t measures the effective spin and charge of the particle. (We should emphasize that in the treatment of the Hall fluid given here, we are always dealing with spin up electrons or effectively spinless electrons, as we mentioned in section I. The spin we are talking about here is the orbital spin of the electron.) Just as the variation of the effective Lagrangian with respect to A tells us about the electromagnetic properties of the Hall fluid (for example, the charges of the quasiparticles) as we have discussed in detail, the variation of the effective Lagrangian with respect to ω tells us about the angular momentum properties of Hall fluid.

Proceeding in this way, we find a remarkable simple formula for the shift

$$\mathcal{S} = 2(1-g)\nu^{-1}(tK^{-1}s) \tag{13.5}$$

The shift depends on the genus g, but not the metric, of the manifold, and is thus a topological quantity. For the sake of completeness, let me record here that for certain specific Hall states the shift and the spin vector s_I may be worked out explicitly.[39] On the sphere, for the state described by K in the symmetric basis (with $t_I = 1$, all I) the shift is given by

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{\nu}\sum_{IJ}(K^{-1})_{IJ}K_{JJ} \tag{13.6}$$

and the spin vector is given by

$$s_I = \frac{1}{2}K_{II} \tag{13.7}$$

For the hierarchical states described by K^h in the hierarchical basis (with $t_I = \delta_{I1}$) we have

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{\nu}\sum_{I}[(K^h)^{-1})]_{1I}K^h_{II} \tag{13.8}$$

and thus

$$s_I = \frac{1}{2} K^h_{I1} \tag{13.9}$$

For instance, for the hierarchical state characterized by $K^h = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & l \\ l & p_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and filling factor $\nu = (p_2 - l^2/p_1)^{-1}$ we have $\mathcal{S} = p_1 - l$. (The $\nu = 2/5$ state is described by $K^h = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{S} = 4$. The $\nu = 2/7$ state is described by $K^h = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{S} = 2$.) From the above we see that the spin vector in the (generalized) hierarchical FQH states may be quite complicated. However, for the Laughlin states with filling fraction $1/m$ we have the simple result $s = m/2$ and $\mathcal{S} = m$.

These results proved to be useful in distinguishing between different Hall fluids with the same filling factor but different internal angular momentum properties.[67]

XIV. THE EVEN INTEGERS

Starting this last lecture, I feel a bit frustrated as there are still a lot of material on the quantum Hall fluid to be covered. Instead of rushing through a list of topics, I have decided to start a more or less completely new subject. So the student who has not understood the previous seven lectures can relax and enjoy a fresh start.

The title of today's lecture is "Does Mother Nature know about the even integers?" Less flippantly and more precisely, we have seen that the topological fluids described by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{k}{4\pi} a \varepsilon \partial a. \tag{14.1}$$

for $k = 1, 3, 5...$ are realized in the Hall system with filling factor $\nu = 1, 1/3, 1/5, \ldots$ Naturally, we are tempted to ask whether the topological fluids for $k = 2, 4, 6, ...$ are also realized in Nature?

Let us start with chapter 1 of any solid state physics texts or Feynman's freshman lectures. Consider a spinless particle hopping on a square two dimensional lattice:

$$H = -\sum \left\{ c^\dagger_{i+x} c_i + c^\dagger_{i+y} c_i + h.c. \right\} \tag{14.2}$$

(We write $i+x$ for (i_x+1, i_y)*etc.*) We denote the coordinates on the lattice by $i = (i_x, i_y)$. Our lattice spacing is fixed to be 1 and i_x, i_y are integers. We suppress the two dimensional character of $i, j,$, *etc.* We also trust the reader to distinguish between the site location i and the imaginary unit i. We Fourier-transform to momentum space $c_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k c_k e^{-iki}$ where as usual $k = \frac{2\pi}{N} l$ with $l = (l_x, l_y)$ with l_x, l_y integers and k ranges over the Brillouin zone $-\pi \leq k_x \leq \pi$, $-\pi \leq k_y \leq \pi$. The hopping from i to $i + \mu$ ($\mu = x$ or y) in real space is associated with e^{ik_μ} in momentum space because of the phase mismatch between c_i and $c^\dagger_{i+\mu}$. We thus obtain immediately

$$H = -\sum \left\{ c^\dagger_k c_k e^{ik_x} + c^\dagger_k c_k e^{ik_y} + h.c. \right\}. \tag{14.3}$$

from which we can read off the energy eigenvalues

$$E(k) = -(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) \tag{14.4}$$

Let us now regard the particle as a fermion and fill the energy eigenstates with non-interacting fermions. At half-filling, by symmetry, the states up to $E = 0$ are filled. The Fermi surface is defined by $E(k) = 0$ and is in fact a square bounded by lines defined by $\pm k_x \pm k_y = \pm\pi$ (uncorrelated sign). The reader should pause and draw the Brillouin zone and the Fermi surface. This is a typical situation we encounter in solid state physics.

We now follow Affleck and Marston,[68] Kotliar,[69] and Ioffe and Larkin[70] and suppose that there is a flux of $\Phi = \pi$ threading through each plaquette of the lattice. In other words, suppose that every time the particle goes around a plaquette, its wave function acquires a phase factor of $e^{i\Phi} = (-1)$. This seems innocuous enough, but in fact, as we

will see, the phase produces a drastic and profound change in the energy spectrum. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = -\sum \left\{ c^\dagger_{i+x} c_i (-)^{i_y} + c^\dagger_{i+y} c_i + h.c. \right\} \tag{14.5}$$

Note the factor $(-)^{i_y}$. This is the only difference between the Hamiltonian in (14.5) and in (14.2). You should check that indeed whenever a particle goes around a plaquette its amplitude acquires a phase factor of (-1). (Some of you would recognize that this is a gauge theory written in a specific gauge. This simply means that (14.5) has the form

$$H = -\sum_i \{ c^\dagger_{i+x} U_{ix} c_i + c^\dagger_{i+y} U_{iy} c_i + h.c. \} \tag{14.6}$$

and that we are free to make the substitution $c_i \to e^{i\theta_i} c_i$, $U_{ix} \to e^{i\theta_{i+x}} U_{ix} e^{-i\theta_i}$, and $U_{iy} \to e^{i\theta_{i+y}} U_{iy} e^{-i\theta_i}$ in (14.6)). Fourier transforming as before we obtain immediately

$$H = -\left\{ \sum c^\dagger_{k+w} c_k e^{ik_x} + c^\dagger_k c_k e^{ik_y} + h.c. \right\} \tag{14.7}$$

where we have defined $w = (0, \pi)$. In contrast to (14.3), here the Hamiltonian is not translation invariant: the position dependence transfers momentum. With $(-)^{i_y} = e^{i\pi i_y} = e^{iwi}$, we see that the flux kicks in a momentum w.

To diagonalize this Hamiltonian in (14.7), we shift the dummy summation variable by $k \to k + w$, thus obtaining

$$H = -\left\{ \sum c^\dagger_k c_{k+w} e^{ik_x} - c^\dagger_{k+w} c_{k+w} e^{ik_y} + h.c. \right\} \tag{14.8}$$

Note the minus sign. The Hamiltonians in (14.7) and (14.8) are identical. Let us add them and divide by 2 to obtain

$$H = -\sum{}' (c^\dagger_k c^\dagger_{k+w}) \mathcal{H} \begin{pmatrix} c_k \\ c_{k+w} \end{pmatrix} \tag{14.9}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos k_y & \cos k_x \\ \cos k_x & -\cos k_y \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14.10}$$

In (14.9), the summation over k should be restricted to half of the Brillouin zone if one wants to be pedantic. The prime on the summation symbol serves as a reminder. Equivalently, we can recognize (14.9) for a given k as defining a two-site hopping problem in momentum space: a particle hops from k to $k + w$ and with another hop gets back to $k + 2w = k$.

The eigenvalues are

$$E = \pm\sqrt{\cos^2 k_x + \cos^2 k_y}. \tag{14.11}$$

The spectrum has been drastically altered from (14.4). Here $E(h) = 0$ only at isolated points, namely $k = k_* = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and $k = k'_* = \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and equivalent points. The lines of zero $\pm k_x \pm k_y = \pm\pi$ defining the Fermi's surface has been squeezed into isolated zeroes, which I will call Dirac zeroes for reasons that will become clear presently.

Let us expand $\mathcal{H}$ around one of the Dirac zeroes, say $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$. For $k = k_* + q$, q small, we have

$$\mathcal{H} = -q_y\tau_3 - q_x\tau_1 \tag{14.12}$$

Multiplying by τ_2, we see that the energy and momentum are related by

$$\gamma_0\mathcal{H} - q_x\gamma_x - q_y\gamma_y = 0 \tag{14.13}$$

where we have defined

$$\gamma_0 = \tau_2, \quad \gamma_x = i\tau_3, \quad \gamma_y = -i\tau_1. \tag{14.14}$$

We recognize this as the Dirac equation for a massless particle

$$\gamma_\mu q^\mu \psi = 0 \tag{14.15}$$

Remarkably, in a system that is not even rotationally invariant, we find that the $E \sim 0$ excitations satisfy the massless Dirac equation!

The electrons are happy. They are no longer some solid state electron living on an awkward looking Fermi surface: they are now fancy electrons answering to Dirac.

But perhaps there exists a theorem that nobody is ever completely happy. The electrons want to be happier: they want to be massive Dirac electrons.

Before we figure out how we can make the electrons happier, let us contemplate the massive Dirac equation

$$(\gamma_\mu q^\mu - m)\psi = 0 \tag{14.16}$$

in $(2+1)-$dimensional spacetime. According to Einstein, for a massive particle we can always go to its rest frame in which $q^0 = m$ and $q^i = 0$ so that the Dirac equation becomes

$$m(\gamma_0 - 1)\psi = 0 \tag{14.17}$$

This equation simply tells us that certain components of ψ do not exist. In the familiar case of $(3+1)-$dimensional spacetime, γ_0 is a 4-by-4 matrix and the Dirac equation projects out two components of the four-component ψ, leaving us with two spin components. Here in (2+1)-dimensional spacetime, however, γ_0 is 2-by-2 and thus one component of the two-component ψ is projected out, leaving us with only one spin component.

What does it mean for a spin 1/2 particle to have only one spin component? It only spins one way and not the other. Parity and time reversal are broken!

We see that from this simple symmetry consideration if we want to turn the elementary excitations into something that obeys the massive Dirac equation we must break parity and time reversal. But, you may ask, by introducing flux through the lattice, didn't we already break parity and time reversal? The answer is no, because of the deep mathematical identity

$$e^{i\pi} = e^{-i\pi}! \tag{14.18}$$

In other words, when our particle goes around the square clockwise, its wave function picks up a phase of (-1), but when it goes around the square anti-clockwise, its wave function picks up the same phase.

But we know

$$e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}} = e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}} \tag{14.19.}$$

Thus, if we introduce a flux of $\pi/2$ in some suitable fashion, we would break parity and time reversal. This crucial step was taken by Wen, Wilczek, and Zee[71], who added to the Hamiltonian (14.2) a term

$$\Delta H = \beta \sum_i c^\dagger_{i+x+y} c_i (+i)(-1)^{i_y} + h.c. \tag{14.20}$$

where β is a real constant. It is easy to check that a particle going around half of a plaquette, namely a triangle (travelling clockwise $i \to i+x+y \to i+x$) acquires a quantum phase of be $e^{i\pi/2}$. Having gone through the discussion given earlier we can write down ΔH in momentum space immediately:

$$\Delta H = \beta \sum c^\dagger_{k+w} c_k (+i) e^{i(k_x+k_y)} + h.c. \tag{14.21}$$

After shifting, we see that we obtain a term

$$\beta c^\dagger_{k+w} c_k (+i) e^{-i(k_x+k_y)} \tag{14.22}$$

to go with the term in (14.21). Under hermitean conjugation the i flips sign while the shift of k by w produces another sign, so that we again obtain a cosine upon adding the two terms. Thus, with the same basis as in (14.9) we have

$$\Delta\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\beta\cos(k_x+k_y) \\ i\beta\cos(k_x+k_y) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{14.23}$$

(Again, we ignore irrelevant overall real factors such as factors of 2.) The fact that we hop to our next nearest neighbor rather than the nearest neighbor produces $\cos(k_x+k_y)$ rather than $\cos k_x$ or $\cos k_y$. This is crucial, because then $\Delta\mathcal{H}$ is just a constant near a Dirac zero, say $\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, so that we now have, instead of (14.12)

$$\mathcal{H} = -q_y\tau_3 - q_x\tau_1 - m\tau_2 \tag{14.24}$$

where m is 2β or something like that.

We obtain a massive Dirac fermion! In condensed matter language, a gap opens in the energy spectrum.

Some of you might remember the Peierls instability discussed in Wiegmann's lectures in this volume. The dynamical opening of a gap there in a (1+1) dimensional context is closely related to the opening of a gap here in a (2+1) dimensional context.

The dynamics of the low energy excitations are thus described by

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum \bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial} - m)\psi \tag{14.25}$$

The sum reminds us that there are actually two modes, one at $\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and one at $\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$.

Let us now study phase fluctuations around this state. In the Hamiltonian we replace $c_i^\dagger c_j$ by $c_i^\dagger c_j e^{ia_{ij}}$ and treat the phase field a_{ij} which lives on the links of the lattice as a dynamical field. Under a gauge transformation $c_i \to e^{i\theta_i} c_i$, the Hamiltonian is now unchanged if we take $a_{ij} \to a_{ij} + \theta_i - \theta_j$. By gauge invariance, we can argue immediately that in the long distance limit the effective Lagrangian (14.25) is now replaced by

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum \bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial} - m - \not{a})\psi \tag{14.26}$$

where $a_\mu(x)$ is a gauge potential. (The phase fluctuations a_{ij} go into the spatial components of $a_\mu(x)$ as usual. The time component $a_0(x)$ emerges in a treatment more careful than the one given here. It originates in a Lagrange multiplier term $\sum_i a_{0i}(c_i^\dagger c_i - 1)$ we have to add in order to enforce the constraint of one particle per site when we fill the single particle states with non-interacting fermions.)

Since the fermions are massive, at energies low compared to m, we can integrate out the fermions to obtain an effective theory expressed solely in terms of the gauge potential a_μ. We have to calculate a simple one loop Feynman diagram, the analog of the so-called vacuum polarization graph in quantum electrodynamics. The relevant integral is

proportional to

$$\int d^3p \; tr \; \left[\gamma_\mu \frac{1}{\not p + \not q - m}\gamma_\nu \frac{1}{\not p - m}\right] \tag{14.27}$$

We are only interested in the low energy long distance physics and thus we may expand in powers of the momentum q_λ. Set q_λ to zero after differentiating with respect to q_λ to obtain

$$\int \frac{d^3p}{(p^2-m^2)^3} \; tr \; \left[\gamma_\mu(\not p + m)\gamma_\lambda(\not p + m)\gamma_\nu(\not p + m)\right] \tag{14.28}$$

This quantity is the coefficient of a term in the effective Lagrangian quadratic in the gauge potential a and linear in derivative. Do we know such a term? Indeed it is none other than our old friend the Chern-Simons term!

I will leave it to the reader to evaluate this integral in full. Let us simply look at one piece of the integral, say the piece coming from the term in the trace proportional to m^3, thus

$$m^3 \int \frac{d^3p}{(p^2-m^2)^3}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \tag{14.29}$$

First, we see that the antisymmetric symbol appears as it must: in (2+1)-dimensional spacetime $tr\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu\gamma_\lambda$ is proportional to $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}$. Thus, in the effective Lagrangian we do obtain the Chern-Simons term $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}a_\mu\partial_\nu a_\lambda$ as expected. Incidentally, the appearance of the ϵ symbol indicates that T and P are manifestly violated. Second, by dimensional analysis, we see that the integral in (14.29) is up to a numerical constant equal to $1/m^3$. But be careful! The integral depends only on m^2 and doesn't know about the sign of m. The correct answer is proportional to $1/|m|^3$, not $1/m^3$. Thus, the coefficient of the Chern-Simons term is equal to $m^3/|m|^3 = m/|m| =$ sign of m, up to a numerical constant.

Now I have to tell you what the summation symbol in (14.25) and (14.26) means. Thus far, we have treated the hopping particle as a non-interacting fermion. We will now be more specific and say that the fermion is in fact an electron with spin either up or down. So we actually have to sum over four species of Dirac fermions: two Dirac zeroes in the Brillouin zone and the up and down spin electrons .

At this point we should be worried. The coefficient of the Chern-Simons term is proportional to the sign of m and thus the contributions of the four different fermion fields to the Chern-Simons term may cancel. Indeed, recall that the mass term comes from a term like $\cos(k_x + k_y)$, which takes on opposite signs at the two Dirac zeroes $k_* = (\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$. It looks like we are not going to get a Chern-Simons term. But wait, we may still get it! Recall that $\mathcal{H}$ contains a term like $(\cos k_x)\tau_1$. Thus, at one Dirac zero, τ_1 is used to construct the gamma matrices, while at the other, $(-\tau_1)$ is used. In other words, the representations of the gamma matrices at the two Dirac zeroes are conjugate of each other. The contributions of the four Dirac fields thus add and we obtain for the effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = 4\left(\frac{1}{8\pi}\right)\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}a_\mu\partial_\nu a_\lambda \tag{14.30}$$

The topological fluid described by (14.30) is known as a chiral spin fluid.[71] Note the factor of four.

By now you should certainly know that particles coupled to a gauge potential with Chern-Simons dynamics acquire fractional statistics. Referring to (2.6) we see that the induced Chern-Simons term in (14.30) endows the excitations in the system with semion statistics ($\theta = \pi/2$). The word semion, half-Latin and half-Greek in origin, is perhaps particularly appropriate as the name for a particle whose statistics lies half way between fermi and bose statistics. Note that careful accounting practices, according to which we are required to sum over four fermion fields in (14.26), are crucial in obtaining semion statistics.

Ishikawa and his collaborators[72,73] have given an elegant representation of the Feynman diagrams contributing to the coefficient of the Chern-Simons term. (Their argument was actually given in the context of developing a rigorous theory of the integer quantum Hall effect. We will adapt and simplify their arguments for our rather limited purposes here.)

The antisymmetric part of the Feynman integral in (14.28) may be written as

$$\int d^3p\ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} tr\left[\frac{\partial S^{-1}}{\partial p_\mu} S \frac{\partial S^{-1}}{\partial p_\nu} S \frac{\partial S^{-1}}{\partial p_\lambda} S\right] \tag{14.31}$$

where the inverse propagator

$$S^{-1}(p) = \not{p} + m \tag{14.32}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial S^{-1}}{\partial p_\mu} = \gamma_\mu \tag{14.33}$$

The mathematically well-schooled reader would immediately recognize this integral as some sort of homotopic invariant. Indeed, using the Lagrangian of the differential form and introducing the standard Cartan-Maurer form

$$dS^{-1}S \equiv \frac{\partial S^{-1}}{\partial p_\mu} S dp^\mu \tag{14.34}$$

we have the integral

$$\int tr(dS^{-1}S)^3 \tag{14.35}$$

The Feynman integral in (14.28) is no garden-variety a-dime-a-dozen integral: it is a very special integral.

Before we conclude that we have a homotopic invariant, we have to deal with several mathematical details. In the context of the original problem, the integration region over d^2p is a Brillouin zone and thus a torus in mathematics. The integration over p_0 is over the band. Thus, we are integrating over some sort of "pinched torus" rather than the three-sphere S^3. Ishikawa *et al.* had to invoke some mathematical theorem asserting that it is homotopically equivalent to integrate over S^3 (assuming we have already performed some sort of Euclidean continuation). Furthermore, in Euclidean space we recognize that

$$S^{-1}(p) = ip_a\tau_a + m \tag{14.36}$$

(where we sum over the three Pauli matrices) is up to an irrelevant overall factor an element of $SU(2)$ (since $(SS^\dagger)^{-1} = p^2 + m^2$). For further details and a more general treatment than given here, see.Ref. 72

Let me mention an immediate generalization: we can consider the state with flux per plaquette equal to $\Phi = 2\pi(p/q)$. This may be achieved with $U_{iy} = 1$ and $U_{ix} = e^{i2\pi(p/q)i_y}$. (The preceding discussion corresponds to $q = 2$.) Going through the same steps as before, we now obtain a Hamiltonian of exactly the same form as the Hamiltonian in (14.7) but with the crucial exception that the vector w is now equal to $(0, 2\pi p/q)$, the momentum transferred by U_{ix}. We thus have a q-site hopping problem in momentum space. The corresponding $\mathcal{H}$ (see (14.10)) is now a q by q matrix, thus giving us q energy bands. As before, the crucial question is how many Dirac zeroes there are at $E = 0$. It turns out that there are q Dirac zeroes for q even, but none for q odd. Actually, this result is guaranteed by an index theorem, as was discussed by Kohmoto[74] and by Wen and Zee.[75]

The consequence of having q Dirac zeroes rather than 2 is easy to work out: the coefficient of the Chern-Simons term in (14.30) is scaled up by $q/2$ and thus the statistics angle of the excitations around this generalized flux state is scaled down by $q/2$ so that

$$\theta = (\pi/2)(2/q) = \pi/q \tag{14.37}$$

We are now ready to turn to the physics of a fluid of semions. First, notice that two semions do not make a fermion. You may think that semions are half way between bosons and fermions and so if I put two semions together they might make a fermion. But in fact, suppose we move a bound state of two semions half way around another bound state of two semions, thus interchanging the two bound states. Then we get a phase. Look at one bound state and focus on one of the semions in it. The semion gets a phase of i going around each of the two semions in the other bound state. Now we are ready to calculate: $2 \times 2 = 4$. We get the phase four times. Each time a semion goes around another semion

we get a phase of i. Since we get this phase 4 times we get i^4, which is equal to 1. Thus, two semions actually make a boson. (The attentive reader would remember that this is closely related to the physics behind (4.25) and (4.29).)

We may now indulge in some handwaving arguments. We all know that fermions like to stay apart and bosons like to stick together. Semions, being half way between are more likely than fermions to pair. When they do pair, they form bosons, whose condensation can then lead to superfluidity and superconductivity. Just think, if you were a fermion and you want to condense into the ground state, what could you do? You have basically two strategies: (1) Find another fermion and pair with him or her, or (2) turn yourself into a boson. Strategy (2) is an attractive possibility, but in the systems under discussion you can apparently make it only half way and turn yourself into a semion.

Much of the physics of anyons is contained in the following deep mathematical identity $\theta = 0 + \theta = \pi - (\pi - \theta)$. What is the mathematics trying to tell us? The first equality says that an anyon with statistics θ is effectively a boson (statistics 0) with a gauge interaction of "strength" θ; the second equality says that it is also a fermion (statistics π) with a gauge interaction of "strength" $-(\pi - \theta)$. The physics is made completely clear by looking at the 2-anyon problem. In the center of mass, the Schrödinger equation has a centrifugal potential like $(\ell + \theta/\pi)^2/r^2$ with ℓ an integer. The smallest possible value of this potential is thus $0/r^2$ for bosons, $1/r^2$ for fermions, and $1/4r^2$ for semions. Anyons are like bosons with "centrifugal repulsion" between them or fermions with a "centripetal attraction". Thus, theorists have a choice. They can begin either with a fermi gas[76,77,78] — certainly not a superfluid — and show that the attraction gives pairing and superfluidity, or with a boson gas,[79,80,81] — which with a short ranged repulsion is a superfluid as was shown by Bogoliubov[82] ages ago — and show that the repulsion does not destroy superfluidity.

Further discussions of the semion superfluid would take us far from the announced subject of these lectures. The story of the semion superfluid is another story for another

day, and a darn fascinating story too, I may add. I refer the reader to existing reviews for more details on the semion superfluid.[1,2,83].

Even with this limited glimpse at the semion superfluid, we see that the Hall fluid, the chiral spin fluid, and the semion superfluid are intimately related. Here I focus on the Hall fluid and treat the other two fluids as a sort of afterthought. In Ref. 2 I do the reverse, emphasizing the chiral spin fluid and the semion superfluid and treating the Hall fluid almost as a footnote.

We started this section by asking whether or not Mother Nature knows about the even integers. Indeed, in (14.30) and its subsequent generalization we have found topological fluids described by

$$\mathcal{L} = 4(\frac{q}{2})\frac{1}{8\pi}a\epsilon\partial a = \frac{1}{4\pi}qa\epsilon\partial a \tag{14.38}$$

with q an even integer. The corresponding chiral spin fluid has statistics angle $\theta/\pi = 1/q = 1/2, 1/4, ...$ We find this an elegantly unified picture of the Hall fluid and the chiral spin fluid. Indeed, were the chiral spin fluid unknown it may have to be invented in order to fill in the "gaps" at the even integers.

Well, while the Hall fluid is realized experimentally, the chiral spin fluid and the semion superfluid remain, alas, at this point, figments of the theorists' imagination. Nevertheless, the fact that the physics and the mathematics of these the structure are so intimately related continues to sustain the optimists. One may be tempted to think that it would be "unnatural" for only one of them to be realized experimentally.

XV. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND A PUZZLE

It is now time for a few summarizing remarks. We have explored the emerging subject of topological fluids. One interesting issue is how to characterize and label the orders in these

systems. Traditionally, one uses broken symmetries and their associated order parametes to classify order and universality classes. Here, it appears that these topological orders have to be characterized by the matrix K.

The physics we are getting at here originates from the very foundation of quantum physics. As I emphasized in the introduction, the basic physics of the Hall fluid originates from the interplay between fermi statistics and the magnetic gauge force. Central to the physics of topological fluids is the notion of the phase, perhaps the most startling and profound concept of quantum physics, a concept totally and categorically alien to classical physics. Already in the Dirac-Aharonov-Bohm effect we see the emergence of topology. When a charged particle moves around a flux line, its wave function acquires a phase. This phase does not depend on the precise shape of the closed curve traced out by the particle, nor does it depend on how slowly the particle moves around the curve. This is physics without rulers and without clocks.

Let me end this series of lectures with a story. A physicist was lucky enough to have two girl friends, one named Lucy and one named Rita, whom he liked equally. (I am afraid that this story is not going to be politically correct.) The physicist lived on a train line precisely half-way between the homes of Lucy and Rita. (Thus, he found it convenient to think of everything happening in a (1+1) dimensional spacetime and of Lucy and Rita as the "left" and "right" excitation respectively.) Since he could never decide whom to visit, he simply left it up to chance. It so happened that on this line trains went left and go right at precisely the same frequency, once every hour. Our physicist thus went to the train station whenever the mood struck him and took the first train that came along. He figured that in the long run he would end up visiting Lucy just as often as Rita. In fact, after some months he found that he was visiting Rita nine times more often than Lucy! Unfortunately for our physicist, both women got angry at him, Lucy for his not visiting her often enough, and Rita for his hanging around her too often. He ended up with no girl

friend.

1) What is the moral of the story? 2) How did it happen that parity was spontaneouly broken? 3) What does this story have to do with this lecture (and Wiegmann's lecture)?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank H. Geyer for inviting me to an exceptionally well run and stimulating school and to visit South Africa at a historic time just before its first election. His warm hospitality made it possible for me to see many different aspects of South Africa. I would like to thank my various collaborators, including D. Arovas, J. Fröhlich, J.R. Schrieffer, F. Wilczek, Y.S. Wu, and especially X.G. Wen. I would also like to thank those condensed matter physicists who have taken the effort over the years to educate me on various topics. These include I. Affleck, P. Anderson, G. Baskaran, P.M.A. Fisher, H. Fukuyama, K. Ishikawa, S. Kivelson, M. Kohmoto, R. Laughlin, M. Rice, D. Scalapino, R. Scalettar, J.R. Schrieffer, P. Wiegmann, and many others.

REFERENCES

1. A. Zee, in *High Temperature Superconductivity*, edited by K. Bedell, D. Coffey, D. Pines and J.R. Schrieffer (Addison-Wesley 1990).

2. A. Zee, in *Particle Physics*, ed. O. Éboli *et al.*, *Physics in (2+1)-Dimension*, ed. Y.M. Cho, *Cosmology and Elementary Particles*, ed. D. Altschuler, World Scientific.

3. *The Quantum Hall Effect*, edited by R.E. Prange and S.M. Girvin (Springer-Verlag, New York 1987).

4. T. Ando, Y. Matsumoto, and Y. Uemura, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **39**, 279, 1975.

5. *Quantum Coherence*, edited by J. S. Anandan, World Scientific 1990.

6. J. M. Leinaas and J. Myrheim, *Nuovo Cimento* **37B**, 1, (1977).

7. F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1144 (1982); *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49**, 957 (1982).

8. F. Wilczek and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 2250 (1983).

9. D. Arovas, R. Schrieffer, F. Wilczek and A. Zee, *Nucl. Phys.* **B251**, 117 (1985).

10. A. Schwarz, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **2**, 201 (1978).; *Comm. Math. Phys.* **67**, 1 (1979); W. Siegel, *Nucl. Phys.* **B156**, 135 (1979).

11. J.F. Schönfeld, *Nucl. Phys.* **B185**, 157 (1981); S. Deser, R. Jackiw, and S. Templeton, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 975 (1982).

12. *Physics and Mathematics of Anyons*, edited by S. S. Chern *et al.*, World Scientific 1990.

13. X.G. Wen and A. Zee, *J. de Physique* **50**, 1623 (1989).

14. Y.S. Wu and A. Zee, *Phys. Lett.* **B207**, 39 (1988).

15. J.K. Jain, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 199, (1989).

16. J.K. Jain, *Phys. Rev.* **B40**, 8079 (1989).

17. M. Greiter and F. Wilczek, *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **4**, 1063 (1990).

18. S.C. Zhang, T.H. Hansson, and S. Kivelson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 82 (1989).

19. S. Girvin and A.H. MacDonald, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 1252 (1987), S. Girvin, in *The Quantum Hall Effect*, edited by R.E. Prange and S.M. Girvin (Springer-Verlag, New York 1987).

20. X.G. Wen and A. Zee, *Nucl. Phys.*, (suppl.) **B15**, 135, (1990).

21. B. Blok and X.G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **42**, 8133 (1990); *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 8337 (1991).

22. X.G. Wen and Q. Niu, *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 9377 (1990).

23. X.G. Wen and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. B* **44**, 274 (1991).

24. Z.F. Ezawa and A. Iwasaki, *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 2637 (1991).

25. J. Fröhlich and T. Kerler, *Nucl. Phys.* **B354**, 369 (1991).

26. J. Fröhlich and A. Zee, *Nucl. Phys.* **B364**, 517 (1991).

27. R. Tao and Y.S. Wu, *Phys. Rev.* **B39**, 1087 (1984).

28. X.G. Wen and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. B* **44**, 274 (1991).

29. X.G. Wen and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 1937 (1989).

30. M.P.A. Fisher and D.H. Lee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 903 (1989); D.H. Lee and M.P.A. Fisher, *Int. J. Mod. Phys* **B5**, 2675 (1991).

31. X.G. Wen and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev.* **B41**, 240 (1990); *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **B4**, 437 (1990).

32. A. Zee, *Nucl. Phys.* **B421**, 111 (1994).

33. E. Witten, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **117**, 353 (1988); **121**, 351 (1989).

34. X.G. Wen, *Phys. Rev.* **B40**, 7387 (1989); *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **B2**, 239 (1990).

35. Y.S. Wu and A. Zee, *Nucl. Phys.* **B258**, 157 (1985); *Nucl. Phys.* **B272**, 322 (1986).

36. D. Haldane and E.H. Reyazi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 327 (1985); *Phys. Rev. B* **31**, 2529 (1985).

37. B. Halperin, *Phys. Rev.* **B25**, 2185 (1982).

38. N. Read, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 1502 (1990).

39. X. G. Wen and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 2290 (1992).

40. A. Cappelli, C. A. Trugenberger, and G. R. Zemba, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 1902 (1994).

41. F. D. M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 605 (1983).

42. B.I. Halperin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52**, 1583, 2390(E) (1984).

43. R. B. Laughlin, *Surface Science* **141**, 11 (1984).

44. X.G. Wen and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 461 (1989).

45. G.S. Boebinger *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 1793 (1990).

46. Y.W. Suen *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 1379 (1992).

47. J.P. Eisenstein *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 1383 (1992).

48. S. Q. Murphy, J. P. Eisenstein, G. S. Boebinger, L.N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 728 (1994).

49. D. Yoshioka, A.H. MacDonald and S.M. Girvin, *Phys. Rev.* **B39**, 1932 (1989).

50. A.H. MacDonald, D. Yoshioka, and S.M. Girvin, *Phys. Rev.* **B39**, 8044 (1989).

51. A.H. MacDonald, P.M. Platzman, and G.S. Boebinger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 775 (1990).

52. M. Rasolt and A.H. MacDonald, *Phys. Rev.* **34**, 5530 (1986).

53. H.A. Fertig, *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 1087 (1989).

54. L. Brey, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 903 (1990).

55. X.G. Wen and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1811 (1992).

56. X.G. Wen and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 2265 (1993).

57. X.G. Wen and A. Zee, "A Phenomenological Study of Interlayer Tunnelling in Double-Layered Quantum Hall Systems," MIT- ITP preprint, 1994.

58. B.I. Halperin, *Phys. Helv. Acta.* **56**, 75 (1983).

59. K. Yang, K. Moon, L. Zheng, A. H. MacDaonald, L. Zheng, D. Yoshioka, and S. C. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 732 (1994).

60. X.G. Wen and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev.* **B41**, 240 (1990).

61. F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 132 (1992).

62. A. Polyakov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B120**, 429 (1977).

63. K. Moon, H. Mori, K. Yang, S.M. Girvin, A. H. MacDonald, L. Zheng, D. Yoshioka, and S. C. Zhang, Indiana preprint 1994.

64. I. Ichinose and T. Ohbayashi, Tokyo preprint (1994).

65. X. G. Wen and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 953, 3600(E) (1992).

66. I. Tamm, *Z. Phys.* **71**, 141 (1931); F.D.M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 605 (1983).

67. D.H. Lee and X.G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 11066 (1994).

68. I. Affleck and J.B. Marston, *Phys. Rev. B* **37**, 3774 (1988).

69. G. Kotliar, *Phys. Rev. B* **37**, 3664 (1988).

70. L.B. Ioffe and A.I. Larkin, *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 8988 (1989).

71. X.G. Wen, F. Wilczek, and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev.* **B39**, 11413 (1990).

72. K. Ishikawa and T. Matsuyama, *Z. Phys.* **C33**, 41 (1986).; *Nucl. Phys.* **B280**, 523 (1987).

73. N. Imai, K. Ishikawa, T. Matsuyama, and I. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. B* **42**, 10610 (1990), and references therein.

74. M. Kohmoto, *Phys. Rev.* **B39**, 1989 (1194)3; Y. Hatsugai and M. Kohmoto, *Phys. Rev.* **B42**, 8282 (1990); and references therein.

75. X.G. Wen and A. Zee, *Nucl. Phy.* **B326**, 619 (1989).

76. A. Fetter, C. Hanna, and R. Laughlin, *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 9679 (1989).

77. Y. Hosotani and S. Chakravarty, *Phys. Rev. B* **42**, 342 (1990); J.E. Hettrick, Y. Hosotani, and B.-H. Lee, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)*, **209**, 151 (1991); J. Hetrick and Y. Hosotani, *Phys. Rev. B* **45**, 2981 (1992).

78. Y.H. Chen, F. Wilczek, E. Witten, and B.I. Halperin, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **B3**, 1001 (1989).

79. X.G. Wen and A. Zee, *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 240 (1990).

80. H. Mori, *Phys. Lett.* **A146**, 335 (1990).

81. C.A. Trugenberger, *Phys. Lett.* **B288**, 121 (1992); *Phys. Rev.* **D45**, 3807 (1992).

82. N.N. Bogoliubov, *J. Phys.* (USSR) **11** 23 (1947).

83. E. Fradkin, *Field Theories of Condensed Matter Systems*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co. 1991.